**Graph Theory Applications** 

## **Solution to Problem Set 3**

Date: 06.03.2014

Not graded

**Problem 1.** Let  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  denote the connected components of G. We can assume that k > 1, otherwise G is connected and there is nothing to prove. Thus, by definition of connectedness, it suffices to find a path between any two vertices in  $G^c$ . Note that for any two vertices belonging to different connected components of G, there is an edge in  $G^c$ . Moreover, if u and v belong to the same connected component  $C_i$ , then there is path of length 2 between u and v via a vertex w belonging to another connected component  $C_j$ , with  $j \neq i$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $\pi = {\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n)}$ . It is easy to show that left multiplying a matrix A by P results in permuting the rows of A according to  $\pi$ , i.e., row *i* of A becomes row  $\pi(i)$  of PA, and right multiplying A by  $P^T$  results in permuting the columns of A according to  $\pi$ , i.e., column *j* of A becomes column  $\pi(j)$  of  $AP^T$ . Therefore, the (i, j)-th entry of A, henceforth denoted as  $A_{i,j}$ , appears as the  $(\pi(i), \pi(j))$ -th entry of  $PAP^T$ .

Now suppose that  $A_H = PA_G P^T$ . Then we know that for all i, j,

$$A_{H_{i,j}} = (PA_G P^T)_{i,j} \implies A_{H_{\pi(i),\pi(j)}} = (PA_G P^T)_{\pi(i),\pi(j)} = A_{G_{i,j}},$$

where the last equality follows from the discussion above. We conclude that vertices i and j of G are adjacent if and only if vertices  $\pi(i)$  and  $\pi(j)$  of H are adjacent (since the corresponding entries of the adjacency matrices are the same). Then, letting  $\theta(i) = \pi_i$  map the vertices of G to the vertices of H we conclude that two vertices i and j are adjacent in G if and only if their images  $\theta(i)$  and  $\theta(j)$  are adjacent in H, and therefore G and H are isomorphic. In other words, G and H are the same graph with the vertices renumbered.

Problem 3. We need to show that

$$\det(A - kI) = 0$$

We have that

$$\det(A - kI) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} - k & a_{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} - k & \dots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & \dots & a_{n,n} - k \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1,j}) - k & a_{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ (\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{2,j}) - k & a_{2,2} - k & \dots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n,j}) - k & a_{n,2} & \dots & a_{n,n} - k \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ 0 & a_{2,2} - k & \dots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n,2} & \dots & a_{n,n} - k \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where the second equality follows from properties of the determinant and the third from the fact that in a k-regular graph, every vertex i has exactly k neighbors, therefore each row (and each column) of A sum up to k.

**Problem 4.** For the "if" part, we want to show that det(A + kI) = 0. If G is bipartite, then we can relabel the vertices such that A looks like

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B \\ B^T & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

where B is a square matrix (B is square from regularity). So, A + kI has the following structure:

$$A + kI = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & \dots & 0 & & & \\ 0 & k & 0 & \dots & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & & B & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & k & 0 & & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & k & & & \\ & & & & k & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & k & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & \dots & 0 & k & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & \dots & 0 & k & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & \dots & 0 & k & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take the vector

$$v = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n/2}, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{n/2}).$$

From the regular property it is clear that

$$v(A+kI) = 0,$$

so v is a non-trivial linear combination of the rows which results 0, thus det(A + kI) = 0.

For the "only if" part, we know that there exists a v for which

$$v(A+kI) = 0. \tag{1}$$

We can also assume that the largest (absolute value) element of v is 1. (If not, we divide the vector by its largest element.) Let 1 be the *i*-th element of v. Consider the *i*-th column of A' = A + kI. We know that the diagonal elements of A' are at least k, so we have from (1) that

$$\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n} v_j A'_{j,i} \le -k.$$

$$\tag{2}$$

Also, from regularity,

$$\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{n} A'_{j,i} \le k.$$
(3)

Since  $v_i = 1$  is the largest element in v, we must have that (2) and (3) are equalities and  $v_j = -1$ , if  $A'_{j,i} \neq 0$ . In other words, vertex *i* doesn't have self-loops and every vertex *j* that is connected to *i* has  $v_j = -1$ .

Consider a j for which (i, j) is an edge. We apply the same argument on the j-th column of A'. The same holds, only the sign switch,

$$\sum_{\ell=1,\ell\neq j}^n v_\ell A'_{\ell,j} \ge k.$$

Again, from regularity,

$$\sum_{\ell=1,\ell\neq j}^n A'_{\ell,j} \le k,$$

so  $v_{\ell} = 1$  for every  $\ell$  for which  $(j, \ell)$  is an edge. We can go on with the same reasoning and since G is connected we eventually give a constraint on every element of v being equal to 1 or -1 and none of the vertices can have self-loops. Thus every edge has the property that it connects two vertices (i, j) with  $v_i = -v_j$ . Consequently, the sign of the elements in v gives a partitioning of the vertices, hence G is bipartite.

**Problem 5.** The desired partition of the vertex set of  $G_{\rm C}$  into two parts witnessing its bipartiteness looks as follows.

Let  $V_1 = \{u \in \{0,1\}^n \mid u \text{ contains odd number of ones}\}$  and  $V_2 = V \setminus V_1$ . We show that there is no edge within  $V_1$  and within  $V_2$ . Indeed, if there is an edge between u and v and the number of ones in u is odd, then the number of ones in v is even, because u and v must differ in exactly one component. Similarly, if there is an edge between u and v and the number of ones in u is even, then the number of ones in v is odd. This suffices to prove the claim.

**Problem 6.** Let S be the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that consists of all vectors  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  such that  $x_i$  is non-negative for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$ . If there exists  $x \in S$  such that Ax = 0, then we are done. Otherwise, we know that for every  $x \in S$ , Ax has non-negative entries, not all of them zero. Let us write |Ax| for the sum of the coefficients of Ax. Then, the map  $\phi(x) = Ax/|Ax|$  is a continuous map from S to S.

Now, geometrically S is a simplex of dimension 2, and therefore it is homeomorphic to a ball of dimension 2. The Brouwer fixed point theorem implies that  $\phi$  has a fixed point, so there must be some  $\tilde{x}$  such that  $A\tilde{x} = |A\tilde{x}|\tilde{x}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{x}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $|A\tilde{x}|$ . Since  $\tilde{x} \in S$ , it has non-negative coefficients, so the result is proved.