## ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 8	Principles of Digital Communications
Problem Set 4	Mar. 11, 2015

PROBLEM 1. (Fisher-Neyman Factorization Theorem) Consider the hypothesis testing problem where the hypothesis is  $H \in \{0, 1, ..., m-1\}$ , the observable is Y, and T(Y)is a function of the observable. Let  $f_{Y|H}(y|i)$  be given for all  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., m-1\}$ . Suppose that there are positive functions  $g_0, g_1, ..., g_{m-1}$ , and h so that for each  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., m-1\}$  one can write

$$f_{Y|H}(y|i) = g_i(T(y))h(y).$$
 (1)

(a) Show that when the above conditions are satisfied, a MAP decision depends on the observable Y only through T(Y). In other words, Y itself is not necessary.

*Hint:* Work directly with the definition of a MAP decision rule.

(b) Show that T(Y) is a sufficient statistic, that is  $H \to T(Y) \to Y$ . *Hint:* Given a random variable Y with probability density function  $f_Y(y)$  and given an arbitrary event  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$f_{Y|Y\in\mathcal{B}} = \frac{f_Y(y)\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\int_{\mathcal{B}} f_Y(y)dy}.$$
(2)

Proceed by defining  $\mathcal{B}$  to be the event  $\mathcal{B} = \{y : T(y) = t\}$  and make use of (2) applied to  $f_{Y|H}(y|i)$  to prove that  $f_{Y|H,T(Y)}(y|i,t)$  is independent of i.

PROBLEM 2. (Application of Factorization Theorem) Using the result you proved in Problem 1, show the following:

(a) Under hypothesis H = i, let  $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ ,  $Y_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ , be and i.i.d. sequence of Poisson random variables with parameter  $\lambda_i > 0$ . That is,

$$P_{Y_k|H}(y_k|i) = \frac{\lambda_i^{y_k}}{(y_k)!} e^{-\lambda_i}, \quad y_k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Show that  $T(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$  is a sufficient statistic. This statistic is called the *sample mean*.

(b) Under hypothesis  $H_i$ , the observable is  $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$  where  $Y_k = \theta_i + Z_k$  and  $Z_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  are i.i.d. Exponential random variables with rate  $\lambda > 0$ , i.e.,

$$f_{Z_k}(z_k) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda z_k}, & \text{if } z_k \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Show that the two-dimensional vector  $T(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (\min_{k=1,\ldots,n} y_k, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k)$  is a sufficient statistic.

**PROBLEM 3.** (Sufficient Statistic) Consider a binary hypothesis testing problem specified by:

$$H = 0: \begin{cases} Y_1 = Z_1 \\ Y_2 = Z_1 Z_2 \end{cases} \qquad H = 1: \begin{cases} Y_1 = -Z_1 \\ Y_2 = -Z_1 Z_2 \end{cases}$$

where  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$  and H are independent random variables. Is  $Y_1$  a sufficient statistic? *Hint:* If Y = aZ for some scalar a then  $f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{|a|} f_Z(\frac{y}{a})$ .

PROBLEM 4. (More on Sufficient Statistic) We have seen that if  $H \to T(Y) \to Y$  then the  $P_e$  of a MAP decoder that observes both T(Y) and Y is the same as that of a MAP decoder that observes only T(Y). You may wonder if the contrary is also true, namely if the knowledge that Y does not help reducing the error probability that one can achieve with T(Y) implies  $H \to T(Y) \to Y$ . Here is a counterexample. Let the hypothesis H be either 0 or 1 with equal probability (the choice of distribution on H is critical in this example). Let the observable Y take four values with the following conditional probabilities

$$P_{Y|H}(y|0) = \begin{cases} 0.4 & \text{if } y = 0\\ 0.3 & \text{if } y = 1\\ 0.2 & \text{if } y = 2\\ 0.1 & \text{if } y = 3 \end{cases} \qquad P_{Y|H}(y|1) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } y = 0\\ 0.2 & \text{if } y = 1\\ 0.3 & \text{if } y = 2\\ 0.4 & \text{if } y = 3 \end{cases}$$

and T(Y) is the following function

$$T(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y = 0 \text{ or } y = 1\\ 1 & \text{if } y = 2 \text{ or } y = 3. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that the MAP decoder  $\hat{H}(T(y))$  that makes its decisions based on T(y) is equivalent to the MAP decoder  $\hat{H}(y)$  that operates based on y.
- (b) Compute the probabilities Pr(Y = 0 | T(Y) = 0, H = 0) and Pr(Y = 0 | T(Y) = 0, H = 1). Do we have  $H \to T(Y) \to Y$ ?

PROBLEM 5. (Repeat Codes and Bhattacharyya Bound) Consider two equally likely hypotheses. Under hypothesis H = 0, the transmitter sends  $c_0 = (1, ..., 1)^{\mathsf{T}}$  and under H = 1 it sends  $c_1 = (-1, ..., -1)^{\mathsf{T}}$ , both of length n. The channel model is AWGN with variance  $\sigma^2$  in each component. Recall that the probability of error for a ML receiver that observes the channel output  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$P_e = Q\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right).$$

Suppose now that the decoder has access only to the sign of  $Y_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , i.e., it observes

$$W = (W_1, \dots, W_n) = (\operatorname{sign}(Y_1), \dots, \operatorname{sign}(Y_n)).$$
(3)

- (a) Determine the MAP decision rule based on the observable W. Give a simple sufficient statistic.
- (b) Find the expression for the probability of error  $\tilde{P}_e$  of the MAP decoder that observes W. You may assume that n is odd.
- (c) Your answer to (b) contains a sum that cannot be expressed in closed form. Express the Bhattacharyya bound on  $\tilde{P}_e$ .
- (d) For n = 1, 3, 5, 7, find the numerical values of  $P_e$ ,  $\tilde{P}_e$ , and the Bhattacharyya bound on  $\tilde{P}_e$ .

PROBLEM 6. (Bhattacharyya Bound for DMCs) Consider a Discrete Memoryless Channel (DMC). This is a channel model described by an input alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$ , an output alphabet  $\mathcal{Y}$  and a transition probability<sup>1</sup>  $P_{Y|X}(y|x)$ . When we use this channel to transmit an n-tuple  $x \in \mathcal{X}^n$ , the transition probability is

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{Y|X}(y_i|x_i).$$

So far, we have come across two DMCs, namely the BSC (Binary Symmetric Channel) and the BEC (Binary Erasure Channel). The purpose of this problem is to see that for DMCs, the *Bhattacharyya Bound* takes a simple form, in particular when the channel input alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$  contains only two letters.

(a) Consider a transmitter that sends  $c_0 \in \mathcal{X}^n$  and  $c_1 \in \mathcal{X}^n$  with equal probability. Justify the following chain of (in)equalities.

$$\begin{split} P_{e} &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \sum_{y} \sqrt{P_{Y|X}(y|c_{0})P_{Y|X}(y|c_{1})} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{y} \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{Y|X}(y_{i}|c_{0,i})P_{Y|X}(y_{i}|c_{1,i})} \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \sum_{y_{1},\ldots,y_{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{P_{Y|X}(y_{i}|c_{0,i})P_{Y|X}(y_{i}|c_{1,i})} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \sum_{y_{1}} \sqrt{P_{Y|X}(y_{1}|c_{0,1})P_{Y|X}(y_{1}|c_{1,1})} \dots \sum_{y_{n}} \sqrt{P_{Y|X}(y_{n}|c_{0,n})P_{Y|X}(y_{n}|c_{1,n})} \\ &\stackrel{(e)}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y} \sqrt{P_{Y|X}(y|c_{0,i})P_{Y|X}(y|c_{1,i})} \\ &\stackrel{(f)}{=} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}, b \in \mathcal{X}, a \neq b} \left( \sum_{y} \sqrt{P_{Y|X}(y|a)P_{Y|X}(y|b)} \right)^{n(a,b)} . \end{split}$$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Here we are assuming that the output alphabet is discrete. Otherwise we use densities instead of probabilities.

where n(a, b) is the number of positions i in which  $c_{0,i} = a$  and  $c_{1,i} = b$ .

(b) The Hamming distance  $d_H(c_0, c_1)$  is defined as the number of positions in which  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  differ. Show that for a binary input channel, i.e, when  $\mathcal{X} = \{a, b\}$ , the Bhattacharyya Bound becomes

$$P_e \le z^{d_H(c_0,c_1)},$$

where

$$z = \sum_{y} \sqrt{P_{Y|X}(y|a)P_{Y|X}(y|b)}.$$

Notice that z depends only on the channel, whereas its exponent depends only on  $c_0$  and  $c_1$ .

- (c) Compute z for:
  - (i) The binary input Gaussian channel described by the densities

$$f_{Y|X}(y|0) = \mathcal{N}(-\sqrt{E},\sigma^2)$$
  
$$f_{Y|X}(y|1) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{E},\sigma^2).$$

(ii) The Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC) with the transition probabilities described by

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} 1-\delta, & \text{if } y=x, \\ \delta, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) The Binary Erasure Channel (BEC) with the transition probabilities given by

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} 1-\delta, & \text{if } y = x, \\ \delta, & \text{if } y = E \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROBLEM 7. (*Tighter Union Bhattacharyya Bound: Binary Case*) In this problem we derive a tighter version of the Union Bhattacharyya Bound for binary hypotheses. Let

$$H = 0: Y \sim f_{Y|H}(y|0)$$
  $H = 1: Y \sim f_{Y|H}(y|1).$ 

(a) Argue that the probability of error of the MAP decision rule is

$$P_e = \int_y \min\left\{ P_H(0) f_{Y|H}(y|0), P_H(1) f_{Y|H}(y|1) \right\} \, dy.$$

(b) Prove that for  $a, b \ge 0$ ,  $\min(a, b) \le \sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}$ . Use this to prove the tighter version of *Bhattacharyya Bound*, i.e,

$$P_e \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_y \sqrt{f_{Y|H}(y|0)f_{Y|H}(y|1)} dy.$$

(c) Compare the above bound to that of Equation (2.18) in your course notes when  $P_H(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ . How do you explain the improvement by a factor  $\frac{1}{2}$ ?