

## Problem Set 7

Date: 31.10.2013

Not graded

**Problem 1.** In the last exercise session you were asked some questions about multiplicative inverses and (most likely ☹) you solved them by trial and error. However, this week you have learned in class more powerful techniques that allow you to solve this kind of problems systematically!

- a) Let us start from the exercises in Problem Set 6. You were asked to find the multiplicative inverse of 7 modulo 11, the multiplicative inverse of 6 modulo 8, and the multiplicative inverse of 5 modulo 8. In which cases such a multiplicative inverse did actually exist?
- b) Pick  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Find a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the multiplicative inverse of  $a$  modulo  $b$ . Then, find a necessary and sufficient condition also for the existence of the multiplicative inverse of  $b$  modulo  $a$ . Check that these conditions are consistent with the result of point a).
- c) Pick  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. the multiplicative inverse of  $a$  modulo  $b$  exists. Describe an algorithm that finds it.
- d) Apply the algorithm of point c) to find
  - i. the multiplicative inverse of 57 modulo 148.
  - ii. the multiplicative inverse of 123 modulo 341.
  - iii. the multiplicative inverse of 257 modulo 921.

**Problem 2.** In this problem we will show, by steps, that  $\sum_{\substack{p \geq 1 \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p}$  is  $\Omega(\log \log n)$ . Let  $p_k$  be the  $k^{\text{th}}$  largest prime, and let the set  $\mathcal{A}_n$  be defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2} \cdots p_n^{i_n} : 0 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq n\}.$$

It is very important that you work the details of each step!

- a) Convince yourself, using the unique factorization theorem, that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_1^n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2^n}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n^n}\right) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_n} \frac{1}{m}. \quad (1)$$

- b) Use the sum of the geometric series to show that the left hand side of the equation above is upper bounded by

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_1 - 1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_2 - 1}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_n - 1}\right).$$

- c) Take the natural logarithm on both sides of (1) and, using b), show that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j - 1} \geq \ln \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}_n} \frac{1}{m}.$$

d) After proving that  $\{1, \dots, n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$ , use it to show that

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{p_j} \geq \ln \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m}. \quad (2)$$

e) Conclude, using an estimate on the growth rate of  $\sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m}$  proved in class, that  $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j}$  is  $\Omega(\log \log n)$ .

**Problem 3.** Use mathematical induction (in its original or strong form) to show the following:

- a)  $2^{2^n} - 1$  is divisible by 3 for any integer  $n \geq 1$ .
- b) Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $a^n - b^n$  is divisible by  $a - b$  for any integer  $n \geq 1$ .

**Problem 4.** Let  $C_n$  denote a  $2^n \times 2^n$  grid of  $2^{2n}$  squares arranged in  $2^n$  rows and  $2^n$  columns. We say that a grid is *eco-friendly* if one of its squares is missing, since in that square it is possible to plant a tree. We also say that a grid is *cool* if it can be tiled, namely, completely covered without overlapping, with L-shaped tiles occupying exactly 3 squares, like this:



Prove that, for any integer  $n \geq 1$ , any *eco-friendly* grid  $C_n$  is *cool*.

**Problem 5.** Consider the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Every integer  $> 1$  has a unique prime factorization.

The result is true (as you have seen in class), but the following “proof” by induction is not correct. Where is the flaw?

*Proof.* By strong induction. Let  $P(n)$  be the statement that  $n$  has a unique factorization. We prove  $P(n)$  for any  $n > 1$ .

*Base step,  $n = 2$ .*  $P(2)$  is clearly true.

*Induction step:* Assume  $P(2), \dots, P(n)$ . We want to prove  $P(n + 1)$ .

If  $n + 1$  is prime, then we are done. If not, it factors somehow. Suppose  $n + 1 = r \cdot s$  for some  $r, s > 1$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $r$  has a unique factorization  $\prod_i p_i$  and  $s$  has a unique prime factorization  $\prod_j q_j$ . Thus,  $\prod_i p_i \prod_j q_j$  is a prime factorization of  $n + 1$ . In addition, since the factorization of both  $r$  and  $s$  is unique, also the factorization of  $n + 1$  must be unique.  $\square$