PROBLEM 1.

(a) Observe that with P_3 defined as in the problem, whatever distribution we choose for X, the random variables X, Y, Z form a Markov chain, i.e., given Y, the random variables X and Z are independent. The data processing theorem then yields:

$$I(X; Z) \leq I(X; Y) \leq C_1$$
$$I(X; Z) \leq I(Y; Z) \leq C_2$$

and thus $I(X; Z) \leq \min\{C_1, C_2\}$ for any distribution on X. We then conclude that $C_3 = \max_{p_X} I(X; Z) \leq \min\{C_1, C_2\}$.

(b) The statistician calculates $\tilde{Y} = g(Y)$.

(b1) Since $X \to Y \to \tilde{Y}$ forms a Markov chain, we can apply the data processing inequality. Hence for every distribution on X,

$$I(X; Y) \geq I(X; \tilde{Y}).$$

Let $\tilde{p}(x)$ be the distribution on x that maximizes $I(X; \tilde{Y})$. Then

$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X; Y) \geq I(X; Y)_{p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)} \geq I(X; \tilde{Y})_{p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)} = \max_{p(x)} I(X; \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{C}.$$

Thus, the statistician is wrong and processing the output does not increase capacity.

(b2) We have equality (no decrease in capacity) in the above sequence of inequalities only if we have equality in data processing inequality, i.e., for the distribution that maximizes $I(X; \tilde{Y})$, we have $X \to \tilde{Y} \to Y$ forming a Markov chain, in other words if given $\tilde{Y}$, X and Y are independent.

PROBLEM 2. Observe that $H(Y) - H(Y|X) = I(X; Y) = I(X; Z) = H(Z) - H(Z|X)$.

(a) Consider a channel with binary input alphabet $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$ with X uniformly distributed over $\mathcal{X}$, output alphabet $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and probability law

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } y = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } y = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 1 \text{ and } y = 2 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 1 \text{ and } y = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify $H(Y|X) = 1$. Since Y takes any value in $\mathcal{Y}$ with equal probability, its entropy is $H(Y) = 2$. Therefore $I(X;Y) = 1$. Define the processor output to be in alphabet $\mathcal{Z}$ and construct a deterministic processor $g : y \mapsto z = g(y)$ such that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g: \quad & \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z} = \{0,1\} \\
& 0 \mapsto 0 \\
& 1 \mapsto 0 \\
& 2 \mapsto 1 \\
& 3 \mapsto 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $H(Z|X) = 0$ and $H(Z) = 1$. Therefore $I(X;Z) = 1$. We conclude that $I(X;Z) = I(X;Y)$ and $H(Z) < H(Y)$.

(b) Consider an error-free channel with binary input alphabet $\mathcal{X} = \{0,1\}$ with X uniformly distributed over $\mathcal{X}$, binary output alphabet $\mathcal{Y} = \{0,1\}$, and probability law

$$
P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Choose now $\mathcal{Z} = \{0,1,2,3\}$ an construct a probabilistic processor G such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G: \quad & \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z} \\
& 0 \mapsto 0 \ \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2} \ \text{ or } \ 1 \ \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\
& 1 \mapsto 2 \ \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2} \ \text{ or } \ 3 \ \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $I(X;Y) = 1 = I(X;Z)$ and $H(Y) = 1 < 2 = H(Z)$.

PROBLEM 3.
$$
Y = X + Z \qquad X \in \{0,1\}, \quad Z \in \{0, a\}
$$
We have to distinguish various cases depending on the values of a.

$a = 0$ In this case, $Y = X$, and $\max I(X;Y) = \max H(X) = 1$. Hence the capacity is 1 bit per transmission.

$a \neq 0, \pm 1$ In this case, Y has four possible values $0, 1, a$ and $1+a$. Knowing Y, we know the X which was sent, and hence $H(X|Y) = 0$. Hence $\max I(X;Y) = \max H(X) = 1$, achieved for an uniform distribution on the input X.

$a = \pm 1$ In the case $a = 1$, Y has three possible output values, $0, 1$ and 2, and the channel is identical to the binary erasure channel discussed in class, with $\epsilon = 1/2$. As derived in class, the capacity of this channel is $1 - \epsilon = 1/2$ bit per transmission. The case of $a = -1$ is essentially the same and the capacity here is also $1/2$ bit per transmission.

PROBLEM 4. Since given X, one can determine Y from Z and vice versa, $H(Y|X) = H(Z|X) = H(Z) = \log 3$, regardless of the distribution of X. Hence the capacity of the channel is

$$
\begin{aligned}
C &= \max_{p_X} I(X;Y) \\
&= \max_{p_X} H(Y) - H(Y|X) \\
&= \log 11 - \log 3
\end{aligned}
$$

which is attained when X has uniform distribution. The same result can also be seen by observing that this channel is symmetric.

PROBLEM 5.

(a) Since the channel is symmetric, the input distribution that maximizes the mutual information is the uniform one. Therefore, $C = 1 + \epsilon \log_2(\epsilon) + (1 - \epsilon) \log_2(\epsilon)$ which is equal to 0 when $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$.

(b) We have

  − $I(X^n; Y^n) = I(X_2^n; Y^{n-1}) + I(X_2^n; Y_n|Y^{n-1}) + I(X_1; Y^n|X_2^n)$.
  − $X_2^n = Y^{n-1}$ and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ are i.i.d. and uniform in $\{0,1\}$, so $I(X_2^n; Y^{n-1}) = H(Y^{n-1}) = n - 1$.
  − Y_n is independent of (X_2^n, Y^{n-1}), so $I(X_2^n; Y_n|Y^{n-1}) = 0$.
  − X_1 is independent of (Y^n, X_2^n), so $I(X_1; Y^n|X_2^n) = 0$.

  Therefore, $I(X^n; Y^n) = n - 1$.

(c) W is independent of Y^n, so $I(W; Y^n) = 0 = nC$.

PROBLEM 6.

(a) Chain rule for mutual information.

(b) $I(W, Y^{i-1}; Y_i) = I(Y^{i-1}; Y_i) + I(W; Y_i|Y^{i-1}) \geq I(W; Y_i|Y^{i-1})$.

(c) $I(W, X_i, X^{i-1}, Y^{i-1}; Y_i) = I(W, Y^{i-1}; Y_i) + I(X_i, X^{i-1}; Y_i|W, Y^{i-1}) \geq I(W, Y^{i-1}; Y_i)$.
  Note that this inequality is in fact equality, unless the mapping f_i is randomized.

(d) $W \to (X_i, X^{i-1}, Y^{i-1}) \to Y_i$ is a Markov chain. This follows from the following facts:

  − For all $1 \leq j \leq i$, X_j is a function of (W, Y^{j-1}).
  − For all $1 \leq j \leq i$, Y_j depends on (W, X^j, Y^{j-1}) only through X_j since the channel is memoryless.

  This means that the joint probability distribution of (W, X^i, Y^i) can be written as follows:

  $$P_{W,X^i,Y^i}(w, x^i, y^i) = P_W(w) \times P_{X_1|W}(x_1|w) P_{Y_1|X_1}(y_1|x_1)$$
  $$\times P_{X_2|W,Y_1}(x_2|w, y_1) P_{Y_2|X_2}(y_2|x_2) \times \ldots \times P_{X_i|W,Y^{i-1}}(x_i|w, x^{i-1}) P_{Y_i|X_i}(y_i|x_i),$$

  which can be rewritten as

  $$P_{W,X^i,Y^i}(w, x^i, y^i) = P_W(w) P_{X_i,X^{i-1},Y^{i-1}|W}(x_i, x^{i-1}, y^{i-1}|w) P_{Y_i|X_i}(y_i|x_i).$$

(e) Since the channel is stationary and memoryless, $(X^{i-1}, Y^{i-1}) \to X_i \to Y_i$ is a Markov chain.

(f) From the definition of the capacity.

This proof still works even when the mappings f_i are randomized. We conclude that feedback does not increase the capacity even if we are allowed to use a randomized encoder.