

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 31

Final Exam

Information Theory and Coding

Jan. 16, 2014

3 problems, 110 points
180 minutes
4 sheets of notes allowed

Good Luck!

PLEASE WRITE YOUR NAME ON EACH SHEET OF YOUR ANSWERS

PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET TO ANSWER EACH QUESTION

PROBLEM 1. (30 points) For a source with alphabet \mathcal{U} , two engineers have designed two dictionary based compression schemes. Let \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 be the dictionaries the engineers have designed. Both dictionaries are valid and prefix free. Suppose the dictionaries are of the same size M .

Based on the work of these engineers, we propose the following compression method: given the source sequence $U_1U_2U_3\dots$

- (i) let $W_1 = U_1\dots U_{L_1}$ be the first word engineer 1's dictionary produces, let $W_2 = U_1\dots U_{L_2}$ be the first word engineer 2's design would have produced.
 - (ii) choose $W = U_1\dots U_L$ to be either W_1 or W_2 (using some decision rule). [E.g., W is the shorter of the two; W is the longer of the two; W is chosen by a coin flip; ...]
 - (iii) describe W using a fixed number (say b) of bits.
 - (iv) repeat the above, by continuing to parse $U_{L+1}U_{L+2}\dots$
- (a) (10 pts) We need to ensure that b is large enough so that that description in (iii) uniquely specifies W . Give upper and lower bounds on b (in terms of M and $|\mathcal{U}|$). [Hint: for the lower bound consider the case where $\mathcal{U} = \{x, y, z\}$, $M = 9$, $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{x, y, zx, zy, zzx, zzy, zzzx, zzzzy, zzzzx\}$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{z, y, xz, xy, xxz, xxy, xxxz, xxxxy, xxxxx\}$ and that in (ii) we use the "shorter" rule. Generalize to arbitrary \mathcal{U} and M .]
 - (b) (5 pts) Our design team suggests to use in (iii) a value of b that allows unique specification of W regardless of the rule is used in (ii). Give upper and lower bounds to this value of b (in terms of M).

Assume that this value of b is used for the rest of the problem.

- (c) (5 pts) In our design team a conflict arises on how to perform the choice in (ii) so that we use the least number of bits per source letter: some in the team say that W should be the shorter of W_1 and W_2 , others say it should be the longer. Who is right?

Suppose the dictionary \mathcal{D}_1 is constructed using the Tunstall method assuming that source had distribution $p_1(u)$. (similarly \mathcal{D}_2 is constructed by the Tunstall method assuming that the source had distribution $p_2(u)$.) Denote by H_1 and H_2 the two entropies of the two distributions. Keep in mind that the Tunstall procedure is asymptotically optimal, i.e., if p_1 is the true distribution

$$\frac{\log M}{E_1[\text{length}(W_1)]} \leq H_1 + \epsilon_1$$

where $E_1[\cdot]$ denotes expectation under the assumption that p_1 is the true distribution, and $\epsilon_1 > 0$ can be made arbitrarily small by taking M large enough. (Similarly for p_2).

- (d) (10 pts) Show that the proposed scheme described in (i)–(iv), with the correct design choices in (b) and (c) will ensure that for both $k = 1$ and $k = 2$

$$\frac{b}{E_k[\text{length}(W)]} \leq H_k + \epsilon$$

where ϵ can be made arbitrarily small by taking M large.

PROBLEM 2. (50 points) An *binary encoder for a pair of messages* of blocklength n is a device which accepts a pair (m_1, m_2) of messages with $m_1 \in \{1, \dots, M_1\}$ and $m_2 \in \{1, \dots, M_2\}$ and produces a binary sequence $x^n(m_1, m_2)$ of length n . For such a device we define a pair of rates (R_1, R_2) with

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{n} \log M_1, \quad R_2 = \frac{1}{n} \log M_2.$$

Let W_1 and W_2 be independent messages, uniformly distributed on $\{1, \dots, M_1\}$ and $\{1, \dots, M_2\}$. Suppose the sequence $X^n = x^n(W_1, W_2)$ produced by the encoder is transmitted (i) over a memoryless binary erasure channel with erasure probability p , and also (ii) over a memoryless binary erasure channel with erasure probability q , with $q > p$. Denote by Y^n and Z^n the outputs of these erasure channels (Y^n is the output of the BEC(p) and Z^n is the output of the BEC(q)).

- (a) (5 pts) Show that $I(W_1 W_2; Z^n) \leq n(1 - q)$.
- (b) (5 pts) Show that $I(W_1; Z^n W_2) \leq n(1 - q)$.
- (c) (5 pts) Show that $I(W_1; Z^n) = I(W_1 W_2; Z^n) - I(W_2; Z^n W_1)$.

Suppose now that the encoder is constructed randomly, i.e., the collection $x_1(1, 1), \dots, x_n(M_1, M_2)$ of nM_1M_2 binary symbols that specify the encoder are chosen i.i.d. with equal probability of being '0' or '1'.

- (d) (5 pts) Given an encoder, let Δ_n be the probability that Y^n does not uniquely determine (W_1, W_2) . Explain why (or why not) the condition $R_1 + R_2 < 1 - p$ guarantees that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\Delta_n] = 0$. (The expectation is over the randomness in the choice of encoder.)
- (e) (10 pts) Given an encoder let Θ_n be the probability that (Z^n, W_1) does not uniquely determine W_2 . Explain why (or why not) the condition $R_2 < 1 - q$ guarantees that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\Theta_n] = 0$.
- (f) (5 pts) Show that for any $R_1 < q - p$, $R_2 < 1 - q$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sufficiently large n , and an encoder for which

$$\Delta_n < \epsilon, \quad \Theta_n < \epsilon.$$

- (g) (10 pts) Show that for the encoder in (f), $H(W_2 | Z^n W_1) \leq nR_2\epsilon + h_2(\epsilon)$, and

$$I(W_1; Z^n) \leq n(1 - q - R_2) + nR_2\epsilon + h_2(\epsilon).$$

($h_2(\cdot)$ is the binary entropy function.)

- (h) (5 pts) Show that for any $R_1 \leq q - p$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sufficiently large n and an encoder for which

$$\Delta_n < \epsilon, \quad \frac{1}{n} I(W_1; Z^n) < \epsilon.$$

PROBLEM 3. (30 points) Suppose we are told that for any n and M , for any binary code with blocklength n with M codewords, the minimum distance d_{\min} satisfies $d_{\min} \leq d_0(M, n)$ where d_0 is a specified upper bound on minimum distance.

- (a) (10 pts) Show that any such upper bound d_0 can be improved to the following upper bound: for any n, M , for any binary code with blocklength n with M codewords

$$d_{\min} \leq d_1(M, n)$$

where $d_1(M, n) = \min_{k: 0 \leq k \leq n} d_0(\lceil M/2^k \rceil, n - k)$.

- (b) (5 pts) Consider the trivial bound

$$d_0(M, n) = \begin{cases} n & M \geq 2 \\ \infty & M \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

What is the bound d_1 constructed via (a) for this d_0 ?

- (c) (5 pts) Suppose we are given a binary code with M codewords of blocklength n . Fix $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let a_1, \dots, a_M be the i th bits of the M codewords. Suppose M_1 of the a_m 's are '1' and M_0 of them are '0'. Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{\substack{m'=1 \\ m' \neq m}}^M d_H(a_m, a_{m'}) &= 2M_0M_1 \\ &\leq M^2/2. \end{aligned}$$

- (d) (5 pts) Show that for any binary code with $M \geq 2$ codewords $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_M$ of blocklength n

$$M(M-1)d_{\min} \leq \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{\substack{m'=1 \\ m' \neq m}}^M d_H(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_{m'}) \leq nM^2/2;$$

consequently $d_{\min} \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \frac{M}{M-1} \rfloor$.

- (e) (5 pts) Compare the bound above with its improvement via (a) for $n = 15, M = 1024$.