ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FEDERALE DE LAUSANNE School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Principles of Digital Communications:	Assignment date: Apr 18, 2012
Spring Semester 2012	Due date: Apr 25, 2012

Solution of Homework 8

Problem 1. (Average Energy of PAM)

- 1. The pdf of S can be written as $f_S(s) = \sum_{i=-\frac{m}{2}+1}^{\frac{m}{2}} \delta(s (2i 1)a)$ while the pdf of U is $f_U(u) = \frac{1}{2a} \mathbf{1}_{[-a,a]}(u)$. As S and U are independent the pdf of V = S + U is the convolution of f_S and f_U . From a sketch of f_S and f_U we immediately see that f_V is uniform in [-ma, ma].
- 2. U and V have symmetric distribution around zero so the mean value of both is zero. $E\{V^2\} = \int_{-ma}^{ma} v^2 f_V(v) dv = \int_{ma}^{ma} v^2 \frac{dv}{2ma} = \frac{m^2 a^2}{3}$. Hence, $\operatorname{var}(V) = \frac{m^2 a^2}{3}$. By symmetry, $\operatorname{var}(U) = \frac{a^2}{3}$.
- 3. U and S are independent random variables and so $\operatorname{var}(V) = \operatorname{var}(S + U) = \operatorname{var}(S) + \operatorname{var}(U)$. Hence, $\operatorname{var}(S) = \frac{(m^2 1)a^2}{3}$.
- 4. Actually we have derived the expression for the average energy of PAM given in the Example 4.4.57 where the distance between the adjacent points is d = 2a.

Problem 2. (Pulse Amplitude Modulated Signals)

- 1. From the previous problem we know that the mean energy of the PAM constellation with distance d = 2a is equal to $\frac{(m^2-1)a^2}{3}$. Replacing a by $\frac{d}{2}$ we have $\mathcal{E}_s = \frac{(m^2-1)d^2}{12}$.
- 2. The received signal is

$$y(t) = s_i(t) + N(t)$$

where N(t) is a white Gaussian noise process.

The ML detector passes the received signal into a filter with impulse response $\phi(-t)$. Let y be the output at time t = 0. The decision is i if i is the index that minimizes $||Y - s_i||^2$. 3. The conditional probabilities of error are

$$\Pr\left(e|s_i = \frac{+(m-1)d}{2}\right) = \Pr\left(e|s_i = \frac{-(m-1)d}{2}\right)$$
$$= \Pr\left(Z > \frac{d}{2}\right) = Q\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{2N_0}}\right)$$
$$\Pr\left(e|s_i \neq \frac{\pm(m-1)d}{2}\right) = \Pr\left((Z < \frac{-d}{2}) \cup (Z > \frac{d}{2})\right) = 2Q\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{2N_0}}\right)$$

hence

$$\Pr(e) = \frac{2}{m} \Pr\left(e|s_i = \frac{+(m-1)d}{2}\right) + \frac{m-2}{m} \Pr\left(e|s_i \neq \frac{\pm(m-1)d}{2}\right)$$
$$= 2\frac{m-1}{m} Q\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{2N_0}}\right).$$

4. Let $m = 2^k$, then $\mathcal{E}_s = \mathcal{E}_s(k) = \frac{d^2}{12}(4^k - 1)$ and

$$\frac{E_s(k+1)}{E_s(k)} \simeq 4$$

Problem 3. (Root-Mean Square Bandwidth)

- 1. If we define inner product of two function, which may be complex valued, by $\langle f, g \rangle \triangleq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{*}(t)g(t)dt$ then we have $|\langle f, g \rangle |^{2} \leq \langle f, f \rangle \langle g, g \rangle$ by Schwartz inequality. It can also be checked that $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g, f \rangle^{*}$. Using this definition $\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [g_{1}^{*}(t)g_{2}(t) + g_{1}(t)g_{2}^{*}(t)]dt \right\} = \langle g_{1}, g_{2} \rangle + \langle g_{2}, g_{1} \rangle = \langle g_{1}, g_{2} \rangle + \langle g_{1}, g_{2} \rangle$
- 2. Expanding the expression and using the fact that t is a real number we have

$$\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \frac{d}{dt} \left[g(t)g^{*}(t)\right] dt\right]^{2} = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[(tg(t))(g'(t))^{*} + (tg(t))^{*}g'(t)\right] dt\right]^{2}$$

Using the result in the previous part and setting $g_1(t) = tg(t)$ and $g_2(t) = g'(t)$ we have

$$\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \frac{d}{dt} \left[g(t)g^*(t)\right] dt\right]^2 \le 4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 |g(t)|^2 dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\frac{dg(t)}{dt}\right|^2 dt$$

3. Integrating by part we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \frac{d}{dt} \left[g(t)g^*(t) \right] dt = t |g(t)|^2 |_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)|^2 dt$$

First component is zero by the problem statement and so remains the second component. Hence, replacing in the result of previous part we have

$$\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)|^2 dt\right]^2 \le 4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 |g(t)|^2 dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\frac{dg(t)}{dt}\right|^2 dt$$

4. From Parseval's relation we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(f)|^2 df$$

Further more we know that the Fourier transform of $\frac{dg(t)}{dt}$ is $j2\pi fG(f)$ and applying the Praseval's relation to $\frac{dg(t)}{dt}$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\frac{dg(t)}{dt}|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 4\pi^2 f^2 |G(f)|^2 df$$

replacing in the result of the previous part we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)|^2 dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(f)|^2 df \le (4\pi)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 |g(t)|^2 dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2 |G(f)|^2 df$$

- 5. Simply, dividing the right part of the equality in the previous part by the left part and using the definition of T_{rms} and W_{rms} we obtain $T_{rms}W_{rms} \ge \frac{1}{4\pi}$.
- 6. For the Gaussian pulse, it is easily checked that the shape of the pulse squared is similar to the Gaussian distribution with $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi}$ and which also needs some normalization factor. Putting altogether we have

$$T_{rms}^{2} = \left[\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2} |\exp(-\pi t^{2})|^{2} dt}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\exp(-\pi t^{2})|^{2} dt}\right]$$
$$= \left[\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2} \exp(-t^{2}/2\sigma^{2}) dt}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-t^{2}/2\sigma^{2}) dt}\right]$$
$$= \sigma^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

Using the fact that

$$\exp(-\pi t^2) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exp(-\pi f^2).$$

we have

$$W_{rms}^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi}.$$

Thus for the Gaussian pulse, we have

$$T_{rms}W_{rms} = \frac{1}{4\pi}.$$

Problem 4. (Orthogonal Signal Sets)

1. To find the minimum-energy signal set, we first compute the centroid of the signal set:

$$a = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} P_H(j) s_j(t) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_s} \phi_j(t).$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$s_{j}^{*}(t) = s_{j}(t) - a$$

= $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{s}}\phi_{j}(t) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{s}}\phi_{i}(t)$
= $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{s}}\frac{m-1}{m}\phi_{j}(t) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i\neq j}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{s}}\phi_{i}(t).$

- 2. Notice that $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} s_j^*(t) = 0$ by the definition of $s_j^*(t)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Hence, the m signals $\{s_0^*(t), \dots, s_{m-1}^*(t)\}$ are linearly dependent. This means that their space has dimensionality less than m. We show that any collection of m-1 or less is linearly independent. That would prove that the dimensionality of the space $\{s_0^*(t), \dots, s_{m-1}^*(t)\}$ is m-1. Without loss of generality we consider $s_0^*(t), \dots, s_{m-2}^*(t)$. Assume that $\sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \alpha_j s_j^*(t) = 0$. Using the definition of $s_j^*(t) = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ we may write $\sum_{j=0}^{m-2} (\alpha_j \beta) s_j(t) \beta s_{m-1}(t) = 0$ where $\beta = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j$. But $s_0(t), s_1(t), \dots, s_{m-1}(t)$ is an orthogonal set and this implies $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha_j = \beta = 0$ $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-2$. That means that $\alpha_j = 0$ $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-2$. Hence, $s_j^*(t) = 0, 1, \dots, m-2$ are linearly independent. We have proved that the new set spans a space of dimension m-1.
- 3. It is easy to show that n-tuple corresponding to s_j^{\star} is $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_s \frac{m-1}{m}}$ at position j and $\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_s}}{m}$ at all other positions. Clearly $||s_j^{\star}||^2 = (m-1)\frac{\mathcal{E}_s}{m^2} + \frac{\mathcal{E}_s}{m^2}(m-1)^2 = \mathcal{E}_s(1-\frac{1}{m})$. This is independent of j so the average energy is also $\mathcal{E}_s(1-\frac{1}{m})$.

Problem 5. (*m*-ary Frequency shift Keying)

1. Orthogonality requires $\int_0^T \cos(2\pi(f_c + i\Delta f)t) \cos(2\pi(f_c + j\Delta f)t) dt = 0$ for every $i \neq j$. Using the trigonometric identity $\cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}\cos(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{1}{2}\cos(\alpha - \beta)$, an equivalent condition is $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T [\cos(2\pi(i-j)\Delta ft) + \cos(2\pi(2f_c + (i+j)\Delta f)t)] dt = 0$. Integrating we obtain $\frac{\sin(2\pi(i-j)\Delta fT)}{2\pi(i-j)\Delta f} + \frac{\sin(2\pi(2f_c+(i+j)\Delta f)T)}{2\pi(2f_c+(i+j)\Delta f)} = 0$. As f_cT is assumed to be an integer, the result can be simplified to $\frac{\sin(2\pi(i-j)\Delta fT)}{2\pi(i-j)\Delta f} + \frac{\sin(2\pi(i-j)\Delta fT)}{2\pi(2f_c+(i+j)\Delta f)} = 0$. As i and j are integer this result is zeros for $i \neq j$ if and only if $2\pi\Delta fT = \pi$ which gives $\Delta f = \frac{1}{2T}$.

- 2. Proceeding similarly we will have orthogonality if and only if $\frac{\sin(2\pi(i-j)\Delta fT+\theta_i-\theta_j)-\sin(\theta_i-\theta_j)}{2\pi(i-j)\Delta f} + \frac{\sin(2\pi(i+j)\Delta fT+\theta_i+\theta_j)-\sin(\theta_i+\theta_j)}{2\pi(2f_c+(i+j)\Delta f)} = 0$. In this case we see that both parts become zero if and only if $2\pi\Delta fT$ is an even multiple of π which means that the smallest Δf is $\Delta f = \frac{1}{T}$ which is twice the minimum frequency separation needed in the previous part. Hence, the cost of phase uncertainty is a bandwidth expansion by a factor of 2.
- 3. The condition we obtained for the orthogonality in the first part consist of two terms as follows $\int_0^T [\cos(2\pi(i-j)\Delta ft) + \cos(2\pi(2f_c + (i+j)\Delta f)t)]dt = 0$. We saw that if f_cT is exactly an integer number then with have orthogonality with $\Delta f = \frac{1}{2T}$. Now assume that $f_c >> M\Delta f$ in this case the integral value will be $\frac{\sin(2\pi(2f_c+(i+j)\Delta f)T)}{2\pi(2f_c+(i+j)\Delta f)}$ which its absolute value is always less that $\frac{1}{2\pi(2f_c+(i+j)\Delta f)}$ which approaches zero as f_c becomes bigger and bigger. So if we choose $\Delta f = \frac{1}{2T}$ and take $f_c >> m\Delta f$ then we will have approximately orthogonality. In a similar way when we have a random phase shift then we can choose $\Delta f = \frac{1}{T}$ and take $f_c >> m\Delta f$ to have orthogonality.
- 4. Integrating $\mathbf{s}_i(t)^2$ over [0, T] we obtain $A^2 \times \frac{2}{T} \times \frac{1}{2} \times T = A^2$ which holds for every *i*. Hence, the mean energy of the constellation is A^2 but this energy is transmitted during [0, T] so the mean power will be $\frac{A^2}{T}$ which is independent of *k*.
- 5. We have M signals separated by Δf . The approximate bandwidth is $m\Delta f$. This means bandwidth $\frac{2^k}{2T}$ in the former case, without random phase shift, and bandwidth $\frac{2^k}{T}$ in the latter case in which we have a random phase shift.
- 6. Practical systems have a constant B and a T which grows linearly with k. Even if we let T grow linearly with k, in the system considered here, B grows exponentially with k. This is not practical.