

**Problem 1** (Any Basis of a Hilbert Space has Same Cardinality).

Since  $B$  is a basis for  $H$ , we can write all  $x'_i$  for  $i = 1 \dots n$  as

$$x'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j.$$

Consider  $\langle x'_k, x'_l \rangle$  for  $k, l = 1, \dots, n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x'_k, x'_l \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{kj} x_j, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{li} x_i \right\rangle && \text{using the distributive and scaling properties} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{kj} \alpha_{li}^* \langle x_j, x_i \rangle && \text{since } \langle x_j, x_i \rangle = 0, \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } \langle x_i, x_i \rangle = 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{kj} \alpha_{lj}^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we define  $(\alpha_{k1}, \dots, \alpha_{kn})$  as the vector  $\bar{\alpha}_k \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , then the above condition is equivalent to :

$$(*) \quad \langle \bar{\alpha}_k, \bar{\alpha}_l \rangle = 0 \quad \forall k, l = 1 \dots n$$

Since any set of orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  has cardinality at most  $n$ , this implies that we can at most have  $n$  vectors  $\bar{\alpha}_i, i = 1 \dots n$  which satisfies (\*). Hence  $m \leq n$ .

We can do the same for expanding  $\{x_i\}$  in terms of the basis  $B'$ , which implies that  $n \leq m$ . Therefore,  $m = n$ .

**Problem 2** (Gram-Schmidt).

In Gram-Schmidt procedure, we make an orthonormal basis from a given set of vectors  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ . At each step, we pick vector  $u_l$  from the set and make an orthonormal vector that is orthogonal to the subspace of the already chosen vectors  $\{u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}\}$  with the following procedure.

We find the projection of  $u_l$  in the subspace and then reduce the projection from  $u_l$ . The resulting vector is orthogonal to the subspace and consequently to all previous vectors. After normalization, it is a new member of our basis. At the first step, we start by normalizing  $u_1$  as the first element of the basis.

In this problem,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \frac{u_1}{\|u_1\|} = \frac{1}{2}(1, -1, 1, -1), \\ w_2 &= u_2 - \langle u_2, v_1 \rangle v_1 = (5, 1, 1, 1) - \frac{2}{2}(1, -1, 1, -1) = (4, 2, 0, 2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle u_2, v_1 \rangle v_1$  is the projection of  $u_2$  on  $v_1$ .

Then  $v_2 = \frac{w_2}{\|w_2\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}}(4, 2, 0, 2)$ .

$w_3 = u_3 - \langle u_3, v_1 \rangle v_1 - \langle u_3, v_2 \rangle v_2 = (-3, -3, 1, -3) - (1, -1, 1, -1) + (4, 2, 0, 2) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ .

Since  $w_3 = 0$ , it means that  $u_3$  is in the subspace of  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  and it does not introduce a new dimension. Therefore, these three vectors are in a space spanned by  $\{v_1, v_2\}$ .

### Problem 3 (Various Norms).

We should verify the three properties of a norm :

- (i) strict positivity :  $v(x) \geq 0$  and  $v(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$
- (ii) homogeneity :  $v(\alpha x) = |\alpha|v(x)$
- (iii) triangle inequality :  $v(x + y) \leq v(x) + v(y)$

Let us first check  $v_1(x)$  :

- (i)  $v_1(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N |x_k| \geq 0$  since  $|x_i| \geq 0$  for all  $i$  and  
 $v_1(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N |x_k| = 0$  if for all  $i$ ,  $|x_i| = 0$  which is  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ .
- (ii) We know that if  $y, z \in \mathbb{C}$  then  $|y \cdot z| = |y||z|$ . Therefore,  
 $v_1(\alpha x) = \sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha x_k| = \sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha| |x_k| = |\alpha| \sum_{k=1}^N |x_k| = |\alpha|v_1(x)$ .
- (iii) Let  $y, z$  be two complex numbers. Then  
 $|y + z|^2 = (y + z)(y + z)^* = yy^* + yz^* + zy^* + zz^* = |y|^2 + |z|^2 + yz^* + zy^*$ .  
 $yz^*$  is the complex conjugate of  $zy^*$ . Therefore,  $yz^* + zy^* = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{yz^*\} \leq 2|yz^*|$  where  $\operatorname{Re}\{\cdot\}$  denotes the real part of a complex number. Hence,  $|yz|^2 \leq |y|^2 + |z|^2 + 2|y||z| = (|y| + |z|)^2$ . It means that  $|y + z| \leq |y| + |z|$ . Thus,  $v_1(x + y) = \sum_{k=1}^N |x_k + y_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^N (|x_k| + |y_k|) = \sum_{k=1}^N |x_k| + \sum_{k=1}^N |y_k| = v_1(x) + v_1(y)$ .

Therefore,  $v_1(x)$  is a norm on  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .

We do the same for  $v_2(x)$  :

- (i)  $v_2(x) = (\sum_{k=1}^N |x_k|^2)^{1/2} \geq 0$  since for every  $k$ ,  $|x_k|^2 \geq 0$  and  $v_2(x) = 0$  iff for all  $k$ ,  $x_k = 0$ .
- (ii)  $v_2(\alpha x) = (\sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha x_k|^2)^{1/2} = (\sum_{k=1}^N |\alpha|^2 |x_k|^2)^{1/2} = (|\alpha|^2 \sum_{k=1}^N |x_k|^2)^{1/2} = |\alpha|v_2(x)$ .
- (iii) To verify the triangle inequality, we use Minkowsky lemma :

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k + y_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k|^p\right)^{1/p}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

This lemma is much more than what we need to prove the triangle inequality for special case  $p = 2$ . It says that for not only  $p = 2$  and finite dimensional spaces, but also for any arbitrary  $p \geq 1$  and infinite dimensional spaces the triangle inequality holds.

### Problem 4 (Convergent Sequences are Cauchy Sequences).

On a metric space with metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ , a sequence  $x_n$  is convergent to  $x$ , if for every  $\varepsilon$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n > N.$$

We should show that every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence, i.e. for every  $\varepsilon$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } m, n > N.$$

Assume that  $x_n$  converges to  $x$ . From triangular property of metrics :

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_n, x) + d(x_m, x)$$

Then since  $x_n$  converges to  $x$ , for every  $\varepsilon/2$ , there exists  $N$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon/2 \quad n > N \\ d(x_m, x) < \varepsilon/2 \quad m > N \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n, m > N$$

Therefore, it is a Cauchy sequence.

**Problem 5** (Incompleteness of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

1.  $a_{n+1}$  is positive if  $a_n$  is positive. As we started from  $a_1 = 2$ , then  $a_n$  is always positive. On the other hand :

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n^2 + 2}{2a_n} \geq \sqrt{2} \quad \text{since } a_n^2 + 2 \geq 2\sqrt{2}a_n \Leftrightarrow (a_n - \sqrt{2})^2 \geq 0$$

Thus ,  $a_n$  is bounded from below by  $\sqrt{2}$ . Moreover it is decreasing, since

$$a_{n+1} \leq a_n \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{a_n} \leq \frac{a_n}{2} \Leftrightarrow a_n \geq \sqrt{2}$$

Therefore,  $a_n$  is a decreasing sequence bounded between  $\sqrt{2}$  and 2. We know from monotone convergence theorem, that the monotone and bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  with metric of absolute value is convergent. To find the limit, assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$ . Hence :

$$L = \frac{L}{2} + \frac{1}{L} \Rightarrow \frac{L}{2} = \frac{1}{L} \Rightarrow L = \sqrt{2}.$$

2. Since  $a_n$  is convergent it is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $a_n$  are rational numbers because each is the summation of two rational numbers. Therefore, it is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

As it is shown in part (i), the sequence  $a_n$  converges to  $\sqrt{2}$  which is not a member of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore,  $a_n$  cannot converge in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\{a_n\}$  is not convergent in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not complete.

**Problem 6** (Properties of DFT).

Recall the DFT analysis and synthesis equations :

$$\text{Analysis equation : } X[k] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn}$$

$$\text{Synthesis equation : } x[n] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X[k] e^{j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn}$$

Using the definitions we can now check the properties :

1) Linearity :

$$\begin{aligned}
 z[n] &= \alpha x[n] + \beta y[n] \\
 Z[k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} z[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\alpha x[n] + \beta y[n]) e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} + \beta \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \alpha X[k] + \beta Y[k].
 \end{aligned}$$

2) Circular Shift :

Note that by taking mod  $N$ , we are only interested with shifts in the interval  $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 z[n] &= x[(n - m) \bmod N] \\
 Z[k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} z[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[\underbrace{(n - m)}_l \bmod N] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} x[l] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} k((l+m) \bmod N)} \\
 &= e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} km} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} x[l] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kl} \quad \text{since } e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \text{ is periodic with period } N \text{ in both } k, n \\
 &= e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} km} X[k].
 \end{aligned}$$

3) Duality

$$\begin{aligned}
 z[n] &= X[n] \\
 Z[k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} z[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 Z[(-k) \bmod N] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X[n] e^{j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} = Nx[k] \\
 Z[k] &= Nx[-k \bmod N].
 \end{aligned}$$

4) Symmetries

(i)  $z[n] = x^*[n]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z[k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} z[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^*[n] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \right)^* \\
 &= X^*[-k \bmod N]
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $x_{ep}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \{x[n] + x^*[-n \bmod N]\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_{ep}[k] &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \text{DFT}\{x[n]\} + \text{DFT}\{x^*[-n \bmod N]\} \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ X[k] + X^*[k] \} \\
 &= \text{Re} \{ X[k] \}
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $x_{op}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \{x[n] - x^*[-n \bmod N]\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_{op}[k] &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \text{DFT}\{x[n]\} - \text{DFT}\{x^*[-n \bmod N]\} \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ X[k] - X^*[k] \} \\
 &= j \text{Im} \{ X[k] \}
 \end{aligned}$$

## 5) Cyclic convolution

$$\begin{aligned}
 z[n] &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x[m] y[(n-m) \bmod N] \\
 Z[k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x[m] y[(n-m) \bmod N] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x[m] \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y[(n-m) \bmod N] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} kn} \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x[m] Y[k] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} km} \\
 &= Y[k] \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x[m] e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} km} \\
 &= Y[k] X[k].
 \end{aligned}$$