ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 23	Information Theory and Coding
Solution 10	December 7, 2010, SG1 – 15:15pm-17:00

Problem 1. (i) Notice that the capacity of C_2 can be computed via the expression

 $\operatorname{Max}I(Y;X) = \operatorname{Max}(H(Y) - H(Y|X)) = \operatorname{Max}(H(Y) - H(Z))$

where the maximum is taken over all the possible distributions on the input alphabet. Therefore we only need to find the maximum of H(Y) over all possible distribution on the set $\{-1, 1\}$. To do this job we need to compute a non-trivial integral.

- (ii) , Notice that this channel is in fact a BSC channel with error probability equal to $1 \Phi(\sqrt{P})$. So the capacity of this channel is equal to $1 H_2(1 \Phi(\sqrt{P}))$
- Problem 2. (i) Since among the random variables of a given variance, the Gaussian random variable has the largest entropy, we can argue that

$$h(X|Y=i) \le \frac{1}{2}log(2\pi e \operatorname{Var}(X|Y=y)$$

for every value of y. Therefore we can conclude that

$$h(X|Y) \le E_Y(\frac{1}{2}log(2\pi e \operatorname{Var}(X|Y))).$$

On the other hand, since log is a concave function, we can use the Jensen's inequality to conclude that $E_Y(\frac{1}{2}log(2\pi e \operatorname{Var}(X|Y))) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi e E_Y(\operatorname{Var}(X|Y)))$. This completes the proof of the first part.

(ii) Since the minimum mean square error estimator of os X, based on the observation Y = y is $\hat{X}_{MMSE}(y) = E(X|Y = y)$, for every arbitrary estimator $\hat{X}(y)$ we have $E(E_X((\hat{X}_{MMSE} - X)^2)) = E(E_X((E(X|Y) - X)^2)) \leq E((\hat{X}(y) - X)^2)$. But notice that $E_X((E(X|Y) - X)^2) = Var(X|Y)$. So, $E(E_X((E(X|Y) - X)^2) = E_Y((Var(X|Y)))$ and therefore, for every arbitrary estimator $\hat{X}(y)$ of X we have $E_Y(Var(X|Y)) \leq E(E_X((\hat{X} - X)^2))$. In particular, let $\hat{X}_Y(y) = \frac{a}{a+b}y$. For this particular $\hat{X}(y)$ we will find $E(E_X((\hat{X} - X)^2))$. Since Y = X + Z and X and Z are independent random variables, we have:

$$E(E_X((\hat{X} - X)^2)) = E(E_X((\frac{a}{a+b}Y - X)^2))$$

= $E(E_X((\frac{a}{a+b}(X + Z) - X)^2))$
= $E(E_X((\frac{a}{a+b}Z - \frac{b}{a+b}X)^2))$
= $E(\frac{a^2}{(a+b)^2}E_X(Z^2) + \frac{b^2}{(a+b)^2}E_X(X^2))$
= $\frac{a^2}{(a+b)^2}E(E_X(Z^2)) + \frac{b^2}{(a+b)^2}E_X(X^2)$
= $\frac{a^2b}{(a+b)^2} + \frac{ab^2}{(a+b)^2}$
= $\frac{ab}{a+b}$.

Thus, $E_Y(\operatorname{Var}(X|Y)) \leq \frac{ab}{a+b}$.

(iii) Since the logarithm is an increasing function,

$$\frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi e E_Y(\operatorname{Var}(X|Y))) \le \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi e \frac{ab}{a+b}).$$

This inequality together with the result of the part (i) completes the proof.

Problem 3. We have

$$C = \sup_{X:E[X^2] \le P} I(X; Y_1, Y_2)$$

= $h(Y_1, Y_2) - h(Y_1, Y_2|X)$
= $h(X + Y_1, X + Y_2) - h(Z_1, Z_2|X)$
= $h(X + Y_1, X + Y_2)_h(Z_1, Z_2),$

where we have used the fact that X and (Z_1, Z_2) are independent. Now since

$$(Z_1, Z_2) \sim \mathcal{N}((0, 0), \begin{bmatrix} M & M\sigma \\ M\sigma & M \end{bmatrix}),$$

we have

$$h(Z_1, Z_2) = \frac{1}{2} \log((2\pi e)^2 |K|) = \frac{1}{2} \log((2\pi e)^2 M^2 (1 - \sigma^2)).$$

Further, we have

$$h(X + Z_1, X + Z_2) \le \frac{1}{2} \log((2\pi e)^2 |\tilde{K}|) = \frac{1}{2} \log((2\pi e)^2 (M(M - P(-2 + \sigma)) - (P + M\sigma)\sigma M)).$$

where \tilde{K} is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} P+M & P+M\sigma\\ P+M\sigma & P+M \end{bmatrix}).$$

Note that we get equality by assuming that $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, P)$. Hence the capacity is:

$$C = h(X + Z_1, X + Z_2) - h(Z_1, Z_2) = \frac{1}{2} (\log(1 + \frac{2P}{M(1 + \sigma)})).$$

So, we only need to substitute $\sigma = 1, \frac{1}{2}$ and -1 to find the solution of each part.

Problem 4. (i) All rates less than $\frac{1}{2}\log(1+\frac{P}{\sigma_1^2})$ are achievable.

- (ii) The new noise $Z_1 \rho Z_2$ has zero mean and variance $E((Z_1 Z_2)^2) = \sigma_1^2 + \rho^2 \sigma_2^2 2\rho \sigma_3$. Therefore, all rates less than $\frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \frac{P}{\sigma_1^2 + \rho^2 \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho \sigma_3}))$ are achievable.
- (iii) The capacity is $C = \max I(X; Y_1, Y_2) = \max(h(Y_1, Y_2) h(Z_1, Z_2))$. We can easily see that the capacity achieving distribution on the input is $x \sim \mathcal{N}(0, P)$ and therefore Y_1, Y_2 are jointly Gaussian random variables and the determinant of their covariance matrix will be equal to $(\sigma_1^2 + P)\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_3^2$. Hence, the capacity of the channel 3 will be equal to $\frac{1}{2}\log(\frac{(\sigma_1^2 + P)\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_3^2}{\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_3^2})$.