

# ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

**Handout 2**

Information Theory and Coding

Solution 1

September 28, 2010, SG1 – 15:15pm-17:00

**Problem 1** (Series).

- (a) Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^i$ . Then  $\alpha S_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^{i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha^i$ . Subtracting one equation from another,  $S_n - \alpha S_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha^i - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha^i = 1 - \alpha^{n+1}$ . Therefore,  $S_n = (1 - \alpha^{n+1}) / (1 - \alpha)$ .
- (b)  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ . Limit converges for  $|\alpha| < 1$  to  $1 / (1 - \alpha)$ .
- (c) We know that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i = 1 / (1 - \alpha)$ . Differentiating with respect to  $\alpha$  on both sides, we get  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \alpha^{i-1} = 1 / (1 - \alpha)^2$ . Multiplying by  $\alpha$  on both sides, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \alpha^i = \alpha / (1 - \alpha)^2$ .

**Problem 2** (Bayes' Theorem). The information can be placed into a joint probability distribution function:

Company	Defective	Good	Total
A	$0.05 * 0.50 = 0.025$	$0.50 - 0.025 = 0.475$	0.50
B	$0.07 * 0.30 = 0.021$	$0.30 - 0.021 = 0.279$	0.30
C	$0.10 * 0.20 = 0.020$	$0.20 - 0.020 = 0.180$	0.20
Total	0.066	0.934	1.00

- (a)  $\Pr\{\text{Defective}\} = 0.066$ .
- (b)  $\Pr\{\text{Company B} | \text{Defective}\} = \Pr\{\text{Company B and Defective}\} / \Pr\{\text{Defective}\} = 0.021 / 0.066 \approx 0.318$ .
- (c) No. If they were, then  $\Pr\{\text{Company B} | \text{Defective}\} = 0.318$  would have to equal  $\Pr\{\text{Company B}\}$ , but it does not.

**Problem 3** (Probability Distributions).

(a) (Geometric Distribution)

- (i)  $E[X] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t p (1-p)^t$ . From Problem 1(c) we know that  $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t (1-p)^t = (1-p) / (1 - (1-p))^2 = (1-p) / p^2$ . Therefore,  $E[X] = (1-p) / p$ .  
 $Var[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$ .  $E[X^2] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t^2 p (1-p)^t$ . We know that  $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t (1-p)^t = (1-p) / p^2$ . Differentiating both sides with respect to  $(1-p)$ , we get  $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^2 (1-p)^{t-1} = (p^2 + 2p(1-p)) / p^4 = (2-p) / p^3$ . Multiplying both sides by  $(1-p)$ , we get  $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t^2 (1-p)^t = (2-p)(1-p) / p^3$ . Therefore,  $E[X^2] = (2-p)(1-p) / p^2$ , and  $Var[X] = (2-p)(1-p) / p^2 - (1-p)^2 / p^2 = (1-p) / p^2$ .
- (ii)  $\Pr\{X \leq t\} = \sum_{i=0}^t \Pr\{X = i\} = \sum_{i=0}^t p (1-p)^i = p (1 - (1-p)^{t+1}) / (1 - (1-p)) = 1 - (1-p)^{t+1}$ .
- (iii)  $\Pr\{X \geq t\} = 1 - \Pr\{X \leq t-1\} = (1-p)^t$ . Similarly,  $\Pr\{X > s\} = (1-p)^{s+1}$  and  $\Pr\{X > s+t\} = (1-p)^{s+t+1}$ . Therefore,  $\Pr\{X > s+t\} = \Pr\{X > s\} \Pr\{X \geq t\}$ . But  $\Pr\{X > s+t\} = \Pr\{X > s+t, X \geq t\} = \Pr\{X \geq t\} \Pr\{X > s+t | X \geq t\}$ . Using the two previous equations, we get  $\Pr\{X > s+t | X \geq t\} = \Pr\{X > s\}$ .

(iv) For a discrete memoryless random variable,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\{X > s + t\} &= \Pr\{X > s\} \Pr\{X \geq t\}, \\ \text{and } \Pr\{X > s + t - 1\} &= \Pr\{X > s - 1\} \Pr\{X \geq t\}.\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting one from another and using the fact that  $\Pr\{X > s\} - \Pr\{X > s - 1\} = \Pr\{X = s\}$ , we get

$$\Pr\{X = s + t\} = \Pr\{X = s\} \Pr\{X \geq t\}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}E[X - t | X \geq t] &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (x - t) \frac{\Pr\{X - t = x - t, X \geq t\}}{\Pr\{X \geq t\}} \\ &= \sum_{x=t}^{\infty} (x - t) \frac{\Pr\{X = x\}}{\Pr\{X \geq t\}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \frac{\Pr\{X = i + t\}}{\Pr\{X \geq t\}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \frac{\Pr\{X = i\} \Pr\{X \geq t\}}{\Pr\{X \geq t\}} \quad (\text{memoryless property}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \Pr\{X = i\} = E[X].\end{aligned}$$

This exercise can be similarly repeated for a continuous random variable  $X$  with the memoryless property by using integrals instead of sums.

(b) (Binomial Distribution) The expectation can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}E[X] &= \sum_{n=0}^N n \binom{N}{n} p^n (1 - p)^{N-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N n \frac{N!}{(N - n)! n!} p^n (1 - p)^{N-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{N!}{(N - n)! (n - 1)!} p^n (1 - p)^{N-n} \\ &= Np \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(N - 1)!}{(N - n)! n!} p^{n-1} (1 - p)^{N-n} \\ &= Np \sum_{n=0}^N \binom{N - 1}{n - 1} p^{n-1} (1 - p)^{N-n} \\ &= Np.\end{aligned}$$

It can also be obtained much simpler by noting that a binomially distributed random variable  $X$  can be written as a sum of  $N$  independent and identically distributed binary random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  such that  $\Pr\{X_i = 1\} = p$  and  $\Pr\{X_i = 0\} = (1 - p)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . As  $E[X_i] = p$ ,  $E[X] = E[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^N E[X_i] = Np$ . Similarly, using the fact that  $\text{Var}[X_i] = (1 - p)^2 \cdot p + (0 - p)^2 \cdot (1 - p) = p(1 - p)$  and  $\text{Var}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Var}[X_i]$  (because  $X_i$  are mutually independent), we get  $\text{Var}[X] = Np(1 - p)$ .

(c) (Poisson Distribution)

(i) The expectation can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[X] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \\
 &= \lambda e^{-\lambda} \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}}_{=e^{\lambda}} \\
 &= \lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

The variance can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Var[X] &= E[X^2] - E[X]^2 \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} - \lambda^2 \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n(n-1) + n) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} - \lambda^2 \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}}_{=E[X]=\lambda} - \lambda^2 \\
 &= \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda} \underbrace{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}}_{=e^{\lambda}} + \lambda - \lambda^2 \\
 &= \lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{X = n|N\} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \binom{N}{n} p^n (1-p)^{N-n} \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-n+1)}{n!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^{N-n} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-n+1)}{N^n} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^{N-n} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \underbrace{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-n+1)}{N^n}}_{=1} \underbrace{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^{N-n}}_{=e^{-\lambda}} \\
 &= e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(d) (Exponential Distribution)

(i) The expectation can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[X] &= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} x\lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \\
&= -xe^{-\lambda x}|_{x \rightarrow \infty} + xe^{-\lambda x}|_{x=0} + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} dx \\
&= -0 + 0 - \frac{1}{\lambda} (e^{-\lambda x}|_{x \rightarrow \infty} - e^{-\lambda x}|_{x=0}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

The variance can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Var[X] &= \int_{x=0}^{\infty} (x - 1/\lambda)^2 \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx \\
&= -(x - 1/\lambda)^2 e^{-\lambda x}|_{x \rightarrow \infty} + (x - 1/\lambda)^2 e^{-\lambda x}|_{x=0} + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} 2(x - 1/\lambda) e^{-\lambda x} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \frac{2(x - 1/\lambda)}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda x}|_{x \rightarrow \infty} + \frac{2(x - 1/\lambda)}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda x}|_{x=0} + \int_{x=0}^{\infty} 2e^{-\lambda x} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} - \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{2}{\lambda} (e^{-\lambda x}|_{x \rightarrow \infty} - e^{-\lambda x}|_{x=0}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\Pr\{X \geq t\} = \int_t^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = e^{-\lambda t}$ . Similarly,  $\Pr\{X > s\} = e^{-\lambda s}$  and  $\Pr\{X > s + t\} = e^{-\lambda(s+t)}$ . Therefore,  $\Pr\{X > s + t\} = \Pr\{X > s\} \Pr\{X \geq t\}$ . As  $\Pr\{X > s + t, X \geq t\} = \Pr\{X > s + t\}$ , we get  $\Pr\{X > s + t | X \geq t\} = \Pr\{X > s\}$ . In fact, this is the only continuous distribution that has this memoryless property.

(iii) This can be shown by seeing the complementary cumulative distribution function of  $Z = X + Y$  which is given by  $\Pr\{\min\{X, Y\} > z\} = \Pr\{X > z, Y > z\} = \Pr\{X > z\} \Pr\{Y > z\} = e^{-(\lambda_X + \lambda_Y)z}$ .

(e) (Gaussian Distribution) The expectation can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[X] &= \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} x \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} dx \\
&= \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu) \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} dx + \underbrace{\mu \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} dx}_{=1} \\
&= -e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow -\infty} + e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow \infty} + \mu \\
&= \mu.
\end{aligned}$$

The variance can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}[X] &= \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} dx \\
&= -\frac{(x - \mu)}{2} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow -\infty} + \frac{(x - \mu)}{2} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow \infty} \\
&\quad + \sigma^2 \underbrace{\int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} dx}_{=1} \\
&= \sigma^2.
\end{aligned}$$

(f) (Multivariate Gaussian)

(i) (Matrix preliminaries) An  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix  $U$  is a matrix whose inverse is equal to its transpose, that is,  $UU^T = U^T U = I_n$ , where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. For any orthogonal matrix  $(\det U)^2 = \det(UU^T) = 1$ . Therefore,  $|\det U| = 1$ .

Any real symmetric matrix  $\Sigma$  can be written as  $\Sigma = U\Lambda U^T$ , where  $U$  is an orthogonal matrix and  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$ . This is known as the spectral theorem. Determinant of  $\Sigma$  is equal to the product of the eigenvalues of the matrix, which is equal to determinant of  $\Lambda$ , that is,  $\det \Sigma = \det \Lambda$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is also positive definite, all eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  are strictly positive, and therefore it is non-singular (that is, its inverse exists). Inverse of a positive definite matrix  $\Sigma = U\Lambda U^T$  is given by  $\Sigma^{-1} = U\Lambda^{-1}U^T$ . This can be verified by seeing that  $\Sigma\Sigma^{-1} = U\Lambda U^T U\Lambda^{-1}U^T = U\Lambda I_n \Lambda^{-1}U^T = UU^T = I_n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{Y} = U^T(\mathbf{X} - \mu)$ . Then  $\mathbf{X} = U\mathbf{Y} + \mu$ . Using transformation of random variables, we have

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = p_{\mathbf{X}}(U\mathbf{y} + \mu) |\det J|,$$

where  $J$  is the Jacobian, that is,  $J_{ij} = \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_j}$ . As  $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_j} = U_{ij}$ , this implies that  $J = U$ . Since  $U$  is an orthogonal matrix,  $|\det J| = |\det U| = 1$ . Therefore, substituting for  $p_{\mathbf{X}}(\cdot)$  we get

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{(\det \Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(U\mathbf{y})^T \Sigma^{-1} (U\mathbf{y})}.$$

Since  $\Sigma$  is positive definite, the exponent can be reduced as  $(U\mathbf{y})^T \Sigma^{-1} (U\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}^T U^T)(U\Lambda^{-1}U^T)(U\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}^T \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{y}$ . Using the fact that  $\det \Sigma = \det \Lambda$ , we get

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{(\det \Lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{y}}.$$

As  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix,  $\Lambda^{-1}$  is also a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries equal to inverse of the diagonal entries of  $\Lambda$ , that is,  $\Lambda_{ii}^{-1} = 1/\Lambda_{ii}$ . The exponent

thus becomes  $-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{y} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{y_i^2}{\Lambda_{ii}}$ . Also,  $\det\Lambda = \prod_{i=1}^n\Lambda_{ii}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{(\prod_{i=1}^n\Lambda_{ii})^{\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{y_i^2}{\Lambda_{ii}}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{(2\pi\Lambda_{ii})^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{y_i^2}{\Lambda_{ii}}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^np_{Y_i}(y_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Lambda_{ii})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are *independent* zero mean Gaussian random variables. They are independent because their joint distribution  $p_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y})$  can be expressed as a product of the distribution of the individual random variables  $p_{Y_i}(y_i)$  as shown above. As a consequence, any multivariate Gaussian vector can be written as linear combination of independent Gaussian random variables.

Now, the expectation and covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{X}$  can be computed as follows:

$$E[\mathbf{X}] = E[U\mathbf{Y} + \mu] = UE[\mathbf{Y}] + \mu = \mu$$

$$E[(\mathbf{X} - \mu)(\mathbf{X} - \mu)^T] = E[(U\mathbf{Y})(U\mathbf{Y})^T] = UE[\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^T]U^T = U\Lambda U^T = \Sigma.$$

- (ii) Any subset  $\mathbf{X}'$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is multivariate Gaussian because every linear combination of the elements of  $\mathbf{X}'$  is a linear combination of the elements of  $\mathbf{X}$  and thus by definition I,  $\mathbf{X}'$  is multivariate Gaussian. This can also be proven by using only definition II. However the proof is tedious. Interested readers can find the proof here: <http://fourier.eng.hmc.edu/e161/lectures/gaussianprocess/node7.html>
- (iii) By the previous result, the subset  $\mathbf{X}' = (X_i, X_j) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu', \Sigma')$ . If  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are uncorrelated, then  $\Sigma'$  is a diagonal matrix. As seen in part (i) of this problem, if the covariance matrix of a multivariate Gaussian is diagonal, its joint probability density function can be written as a product of the probability density functions of the individual random variables. Hence  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are independent.