

## Solutions 1

First of all, since  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , there exists  $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $u \neq 0$  and  $Au = \lambda u$ . In particular,  $u^*Au = \lambda u^*u$ .

a1) If  $A^* = A$ , then

$$\lambda u^*u = u^*Au = u^*A^*u = (Au)^*u = (\lambda u)^*u = \bar{\lambda} u^*u,$$

i.e.  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $u^*u \neq 0$ .

a2) If  $A^* = -A$ , then similarly,

$$\lambda u^*u = u^*Au = -u^*A^*u = -\bar{\lambda} u^*u,$$

i.e.  $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$ , since  $u^*u \neq 0$ .

b1) If  $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then we have

$$\overline{Au} = \overline{\lambda u}, \quad \text{so} \quad A\bar{u} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{u}.$$

i.e.  $\bar{\lambda}$  is also an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

b2) If  $a_{jk} \in i\mathbb{R}$  for all  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then we have

$$\overline{Au} = \overline{\lambda u}, \quad \text{so} \quad -A\bar{u} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{u}.$$

i.e.  $-\bar{\lambda}$  is also an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

c) If  $A$  is positive semi-definite, then  $\lambda u^*u = u^*Au \geq 0$  by assumption, so  $\lambda \geq 0$ , since  $u^*u > 0$ .

d1) If  $A^*A = I$ , then

$$|\lambda|^2 \|u\|^2 = \|\lambda u\|^2 = \|Au\|^2 = u^*A^*Au = u^*u, \quad \text{so} \quad |\lambda| = 1.$$

d2) Notice that  $(A^2)_{jk} = 1$  if  $j + k = n$  or  $j = k = n$ , and 0 otherwise. Therefore, it is easy to infer that  $A^4 = I$ , so that whenever  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , we have  $\lambda^4 = 1$ , i.e.  $\lambda \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}$ .

e1) If  $a_{jk} \geq 0$  for all  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $Au = \lambda u$ , then let  $l = \operatorname{argmax}_j |u_j|$ . We have

$$|\lambda u_l| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_{lk} u_k \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_{lk} |u_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_{lk} |u_l|.$$

Since  $u \neq 0$ ,  $|u_l| > 0$ , so

$$|\lambda| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_{lk} \leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}.$$

e2) It is a direct consequence of e1), since  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} = 1$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

f) Let again  $l = \operatorname{argmax}_j |u_j|$ .  $Au = \lambda u$  implies that

$$(\lambda - a_{ll}) u_l = \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^n a_{lk} u_k,$$

so

$$|\lambda - a_{ll}| |u_l| \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^n |a_{lk}| |u_k| \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^n |a_{lk}| |u_l|.$$

This in turn implies (since  $|u_l| > 0$ ) that

$$|\lambda - a_{ll}| \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^n |a_{lk}|,$$

so

$$\lambda \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n B \left( a_{jj}, \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^n |a_{jk}| \right).$$