ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 4	Advanced Digital Communications
Homework 1	September 29, 2009

Problem 1.

- (a) (1) Additive noise: This model is one in which the impairment to the signal is an addition of a noise, a stochastic process independent of the signal.
 - (2) Distortion: A distortion is the alteration of the original shape (or other characteristic) of a waveform or other form of information or representation. In general a noise-free system can be characterized by a transfer function, such that the output y(t) can be written as a function of the input x(t) as

$$y(t) = F(x(t)).$$

This form is memoryless distortion.

- (3) Interference: Interference is anything which alters, modifies, or disrupts a signal as it travels along a channel between a source and a receiver. The term typically refers to the addition of unwanted signals from other transmitters to a useful signal.
- (4) Fading: Fading is the random attenuation that a carrier-modulated signal experiences over certain propagation media. The fading may vary with time, geographical position and/or radio frequency, and is often modeled as a random process. A fading channel is a communication channel that experiences fading. In wireless systems, fading may either be due to multipath propagation, referred to as multipath induced fading, or due to shadowing from obstacles affecting the wave propagation, sometimes referred to as shadow fading.
- (5) Doppler shift: It is the change that occurs in the frequency of a wave for an observer with a non-zero relative velocity to the source.

All channels are energy and bandwidth limited, but note that some channels are more restrictive on one area than the other. In general channels may be classified as bandwidth or energy limited according to whether they permit transmission at high spectral efficiency or not. There is no sharp line, but usually we could take spectral efficiency 2 (b/s)/Hz as the boundary, corresponding to the highest spectral efficiency that can be achieved with binary transmission.

- (i) In this region, the capacity (achievable spectral efficiency) increases linearly with SNR. In these kind of channel, we will be able to use binary coding and modulation.
- (ii) In this region, the capacity (achievable spectral efficiency) increases logarithmic with *SNR*. In these kind of channels, we must use non-binary (multilevel) modulation.
- (b) (1) Wireline channels (non-optical): Additive noise, Interference and Bandwidth limited
 - (2) Optical channels: Low attenuation, high bandwidth. No Interference

- (3) Deep space satellite channels: Additive noise, Energy limited
- (4) Microwave links: Line of sight links so no Interference, distortion and not much fading, High power
- (5) Underwater acoustic channels: Additive background noise, distortion and doppler shift, fading and band limited
- (6) Cellphone wireless communication channels: Additive noise, fading, doppler shift, interference, energy limited, bandwidth limited

PROBLEM 2. $p_{VW}(v, w)$.

2

(a)

$$E(V+W) = \iint (v+w) p_{VW}(v,w) \, dv \, dw \tag{1}$$

$$= \iint (v p_{VW}(v, w) + w p_{VW}(v, w)) \, dv \, dw \tag{2}$$

$$= \iint v p_{VW}(v, w) \, dv \, dw + \iint w p_{VW}(v, w) \, dv \, dw \tag{3}$$

$$= \int v \int p_{VW}(v,w) \, dw \, dv + \int w \int p_{VW}(v,w) \, dv \, dw \tag{4}$$

$$= \int v p_V(v) \, dv + \int w p_W(w) \, dw \tag{5}$$

$$= E(V) + E(W) \tag{6}$$

(b)

$$E(V \cdot W) = \iint (v \cdot w) p_{VW}(v, w) \, dv \, dw \tag{7}$$

$$= \iint (v \cdot w) p_V(v) p_W(w) \, dv \, dw \tag{8}$$

$$= \int v p_V(v) \, dv \cdot \int w p_W(w) \, dw \tag{9}$$

$$= E(V) \cdot E(W) \tag{10}$$

(c) Assume V = W and $Pr(V = 1) = Pr(V = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. We compute E(V) = E(W) = 0and E(VW) = 1, so $E(VW) \neq E(V)E(W)$

Now suppose (V, W) takes values of (1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1), (0, 0) with equal probability $\frac{1}{5}$. Because $\Pr(W = 0 | V = 1) = 0 \neq \frac{1}{5} = \Pr(W = 0), V$ and W are not independent. We compute E(V) = E(W) = 0 and E(VW) = 0, so E(VW) = E(V)E(W)

(d) Assume that V and W are independent and let σ_V^2 and σ_W^2 be the variances of V and W, respectively. Show that the variance of V + W is given by $\sigma_{V+W}^2 = \sigma_V^2 + \sigma_W^2$.

$$\sigma_{V+W}^2 = E\left((V+W)^2\right) - E\left((V+W)\right)^2$$
(11)

$$= E(V^{2}) + E(W^{2}) + 2E(VW) - (E(V) + E(W))^{2}$$
(12)

$$= E(V^{2}) + E(W^{2}) + 2E(V)E(W) - E(V)^{2} - E(W)^{2} - 2E(V)E(W)(13)$$

$$= E(V^{2}) - E(V)^{2} + E(W^{2}) - E(W)^{2}$$
(14)

$$= \sigma_V^2 + \sigma_W^2 \tag{15}$$

Problem 3.

(a)

$$\sum_{n>0} \Pr(N \ge n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \Pr(N=m)$$
(16)

$$= \sum_{\substack{m=1\\\infty}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \Pr(N=m)$$
(17)

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \Pr(N=m)$$
(18)

$$= E(N) \tag{19}$$

(b)

$$\int_0^\infty \Pr(x \ge a) \, da = \int_0^\infty \int_a^\infty f_x(t) \, dt \, da \tag{20}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^t f_x(t) \, da \, dt \tag{21}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty t f_x(t) dt \tag{22}$$

$$= E(X) \tag{23}$$

(c) The main point is to note that $G(t) = P(X \ge t)$ is a non-increasing function of t. So for any fixed value of a > 0, the rectangle between point (0,0) and (a, G(a)) lies below the function G(t). In conclusion, it follows from the discussion above that

$$aG(a) \le \int_0^a G(a) \, dt \le \int_0^a G(t) \, dt \le \int_0^\infty G(t) \, dt,$$

which means

$$a \Pr(X \ge a) \le E(X)$$

(d) Assume

$$X = (Y - E(Y))^2 \qquad X \ge 0$$

Using part (c), we have

$$a \Pr(X \ge a) \le E(X).$$

Therefore, one could conclude that

$$a \Pr((Y - E(Y))^2 \ge a) \le E((Y - E(Y))^2).$$

Setting $b = \sqrt{a}$, we have

$$\Pr(|Y - E(Y)| \ge b) = \Pr((Y - E(Y))^2 \ge b^2) \le \frac{E((Y - E(Y))^2)}{b^2} = \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{b^2}.$$

Problem 4.

- (a) $\Pr(X_1 \leq X_2) = \frac{1}{2}$. We know because of independence we have, $f_{X_1,X_2}(x_1,x_2) = f_{X_1}(x_1)f_{X_2}(x_2)$, and we want to find the probability of x_1 being minimum of two. This event partitions the probability space into two equal sub-sets, the other one is x_2 being the minimum of the two. The only problem is the boundary line $x_1 = x_2$, which we assume is a part of first sub-set, but because f_x is a continuous random variable the line $x_1 = x_2$ has zero probability mass and because $f_{X_1}(x_1)f_{X_2}(x_2)$ is symmetric with respect to the line $x_1 = x_2$, we conclude that the event $\min(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ partitions the whole probability space into two equally probable regions.
- (b) $Pr(X_1 \le X_2; X_1 \le X_3) = \frac{1}{3}$; We follow the exact same argument as the part (a), this time the probability space is partitioned into three equally probable sub-sets, in each of sub-sets one of the three random variable is minimum.
- (c) Similar to last parts, we can show that

$$\Pr(X_1 \le X_2; X_1 \le X_3; \dots; X_1 \le X_{n-1}; X_1 \le X_n) = \frac{1}{n}$$

and

$$\Pr(X_1 \le X_2; X_1 \le X_3; \dots; X_1 \le X_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n-1}$$

We know

$$\Pr(N = n) = \Pr(X_1 \le X_2; X_1 \le X_3; \dots; X_1 \le X_{n-1}; X_1 > X_n)$$

$$= \Pr(X_1 \le X_2; X_1 \le X_3; \dots; X_1 \le X_{n-1})$$
(24)

$$-\Pr(X_1 \le X_2; X_1 \le X_3; \dots; X_1 \le X_{n-1}; X_1 \le X_n) \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2 - n}, \qquad n > 1$$
(26)

Using properties of telescopic series, we conclude

$$\Pr(N \ge n) = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \Pr(N = m)$$
(27)

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} + \dots$$
(28)

$$= \frac{1}{n-1}, \qquad n \ge 2 \tag{29}$$

(d) We use part (a) of Problem 3.

$$E(N) = \sum_{n>0} \Pr(N \ge n) = \sum_{n>1} \frac{1}{n-1} \to \infty$$

(We know that series $\frac{1}{n}$ is divergent.)

(e) The symmetry of the $f_{X_1}(x_1)f_{X_2}(x_2)$ still holds because of independence but in the discrete case it is possible to put some probability mass on line $x_1 = x_2$. Therefore in the discrete case the event $x_1 \leq x_2$ does not partition the whole probability space into two equally probable sub-spaces. The same as before we can conclude that $\Pr(X_1 < X_2) = \Pr(X_2 < X_1)$. We know $\Pr(X_1 < X_2) + \Pr(X_1 = X_2) + \Pr(X_2 < X_1) = 1$. From these two we conclude that $\Pr(X_1 \leq X_2) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly we conclude that

$$\Pr(X_1 \le X_2; X_1 \le X_3; \dots; X_1 \le X_{n-1}; X_1 \le X_n) \ge \frac{1}{n}.$$

Following the steps in part (d), we can show that

$$E(N) \ge \sum_{n>1} \frac{1}{n-1} \to \infty$$

PROBLEM 5. Let's consider the case where n = 2 first, we have

$$P(Z = 0) = P(X_1 \oplus X_2 = 0) = P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0) + P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

in which we used independence of X_1 and X_2 .

By induction, one could easily show that for arbitrary n, we have

$$P(Z=0) = \frac{1}{2}$$

(a)

$$P(Z = z | X_1 = x_1) = P(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n = z | X_1 = x_1)$$
(30)

$$= P(X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n = z \oplus x_1 | X_1 = x_1)$$
(31)

$$= P(X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n = z \oplus x_1) \tag{32}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} = P(Z = z) \tag{33}$$

in (32) we used that X_i 's are independent. We conclude that Z is independent of X_1

(b)

$$P(Z = z | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) =$$
(34)

$$P(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n = z | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) =$$

$$(35)$$

$$P(X_n = z \oplus x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_{n-1} | X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) =$$
(36)

$$P(X_n = z \oplus x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_{n-1}) =$$
(37)

 $=\frac{1}{2}$ (38)

$$= \stackrel{2}{P}(Z=z) \quad (39)$$

in (37) we used that X_i 's are independent. We conclude that Z is independent of X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} .

(c) No, Z is a deterministic function of X_1, \ldots, X_n , which means

$$P(Z=z|X_1,\ldots,X_n=x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

is either 0 or 1 depending on the values of x_1, \ldots, x_n and z.

(d) Suppose $Pr(X_i = 1) = \frac{3}{4}$, we have

$$P(Z=0) = P(X_1 \oplus X_2 = 0) = P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0) + P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1) = \frac{9+1}{16} = \frac{5}{8}.$$

but

$$P(Z = 0|X_1 = 0) = P(X_1 \oplus X_2 = 0|X_1 = 0)$$
(40)

$$= P(X_2 = 0 | X_1 = 0) \tag{41}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \neq \frac{5}{8} = P(Z=0), \tag{42}$$

in which we used that X_1 and X_2 are independent. We conclude that Z is not independent of X_1 .

Problem 6. (1)

$$V(\pi_0) = \pi_0 \Pr(\text{error}|H_0) + (1 - \pi_0) \Pr(\text{error}|H_1)$$
(43)

$$= \pi_0 \int_{R_{H_0}} \Pr(y|H_0) \, dy + (1-\pi_0) \int_{R \setminus R_{H_0}} \Pr(y|H_1) \, dy, \tag{44}$$

where we define

$$R_{H_0} = \{ y \in R | \pi_0 \Pr(y|H_0) \ge (1 - \pi_0) \Pr(y|H_1) \}.$$

(2) We define

$$R_{\pi_0} = \{ y \in R | \pi_0 \Pr(y|H_0) \ge (1 - \pi_0) \Pr(y|H_1) \}.$$

and

$$R_{\pi'_0} = \{ y \in R | \pi'_0 \Pr(y|H_0) \ge (1 - \pi'_0) \Pr(y|H_1) \}.$$

We know that these regions are optimal decision regions for $V(\pi_0)$ and $V(\pi'_0)$, respectively, which means they minimize V for the given prior among all other decision regions. Now, we define

$$R_{\lambda\pi_0+(1-\lambda)\pi'_0} = \{ y \in R | (\lambda\pi_0+(1-\lambda)\pi'_0) \Pr(y|H_0) \ge (1-(\lambda\pi_0+(1-\lambda)\pi'_0)) \Pr(y|H_1) \},\$$

and we conclude that

$$V(\pi_0) = \pi_0 \int_{R_{\pi_0}} \Pr(y|H_0) \, dy + (1 - \pi_0) \int_{R \setminus R_{\pi_0}} \Pr(y|H_1) \, dy \tag{45}$$

$$\leq \pi_0 \int_{R_{\lambda \pi_0 + (1-\lambda)\pi'_0}} \Pr(y|H_0) \, dy + (1-\pi_0) \int_{R \setminus R_{\lambda \pi_0 + (1-\lambda)\pi'_0}} \Pr(y|H_1) \, dy, (46)$$

and

$$V(\pi'_0) = \pi'_0 \int_{R_{\pi'_0}} \Pr(y|H_0) \, dy + (1 - \pi'_0) \int_{R \setminus R_{\pi'_0}} \Pr(y|H_1) \, dy \tag{47}$$

$$\leq \pi'_0 \int_{R_{\lambda \pi_0 + (1-\lambda)\pi'_0}} \Pr(y|H_0) \, dy + (1 - \pi'_0) \int_{R \setminus R_{\lambda \pi_0 + (1-\lambda)\pi'_0}} \Pr(y|H_1) \, dy, (48)$$

In (46) and (48), we used the fact that R_{π_0} and $R_{\pi'_0}$ minimize V for the given prior among all other decision regions. Multiplying (46) by λ and (48) by $1 - \lambda$ and adding them up, we will have

$$\lambda V(\pi_{0}) + (1 - \lambda) V(\pi'_{0}) \leq (\lambda \pi_{0} + (1 - \lambda) \pi'_{0}) \int_{R_{\lambda \pi_{0} + (1 - \lambda) \pi'_{0}}} \Pr(y|H_{0}) dy + (1 - (\lambda \pi_{0} + (1 - \lambda) \pi'_{0})) \int_{R \setminus R_{\lambda \pi_{0} + (1 - \lambda) \pi'_{0}}} \Pr(y|H_{1}) dy, = V(\lambda \pi_{0} + (1 - \lambda) \pi'_{0})$$
(49)

(3) Concavity implies that V is continuous on [0, 1] and the minimum is on the boundary and for a given prior π_{max} , most likely in the interior of]0,1[(unless V is a linear function), V is maximized over all $\pi \in [0,1]$. PROBLEM 7. We define

$$C(x_i) = 2\sigma^2 \log \Pr(x_i)$$

It is easy to show that for the optimal decision maker (MAP) in Gaussian noise, the detector finds x_i so that

$$\langle x_i, x_i \rangle - 2 \langle y, x_i \rangle - C(x_i)$$

is minimized.

We know the following for any $j \neq i$

$$\langle x_i, x_i \rangle - 2 \langle y_1, x_i \rangle - C(x_i) \leq \langle x_j, x_j \rangle - 2 \langle y_1, x_j \rangle - C(x_j)$$
(50)

$$\langle x_i, x_i \rangle - 2 \langle y_2, x_i \rangle - C(x_i) \leq \langle x_j, x_j \rangle - 2 \langle y_2, x_j \rangle - C(x_j).$$
(51)

Now let us consider the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_i, x_i \rangle - 2 \langle \alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha) y_2, x_i \rangle - C(x_i) &= \langle x_i, x_i \rangle - 2\alpha \langle y_1, x_i \rangle \\ &- 2(1 - \alpha) \langle y_2, x_i \rangle - C(x_i) \\ &= \alpha [\langle x_i, x_i \rangle - 2 \langle y_1, x_i \rangle - C(x_i)] + \\ &(1 - \alpha) [\langle x_i, x_i \rangle - 2 \langle y_2, x_i \rangle - C(x_i)] \\ &\leq \alpha [\langle x_j, x_j \rangle - 2 \langle y_1, x_j \rangle - C(x_j)] + \\ &(1 - \alpha) [\langle x_j, x_j \rangle - 2 \langle y_2, x_j \rangle - C(x_j)]. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used 50 and 51. We conclude

$$\langle x_i, x_i \rangle - 2\langle \alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_2, x_i \rangle - C(x_i) \le \langle x_j, x_j \rangle - 2\langle \alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_2, x_j \rangle - C(x_j)$$

for all $j \neq i$. Therefore, the decoder decodes $\alpha y_1 + (1 - \alpha)y_2$ as x_i .

PROBLEM 8. Based on what we learned in the class, we find the optimal decision region as follows,

$$R_{-3} = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} | \pi_{-3} \Pr(y|-3) > \pi_3 \Pr(y|3) \},\$$

which simplifies to

$$\frac{2}{3}\Pr(z=y+3) > \frac{1}{3}\Pr(z=y-3)$$
(52)

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{\pi(1+(y+3)^2)} > \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{\pi(1+(y-3)^2)}$$
(53)

$$2y^2 - 12y + 20 > y^2 + 6y + 10 \tag{54}$$

$$y^2 - 18y + 10 > 0. (55)$$

Therefore, we have

$$R_{-3} = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} | y \ge 17.4 \text{ or } y \le 0.57 \},\$$

and

$$R_3 = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} | 0.57 \le y \le 17.4 \}.$$

Note that R_{-3} is not convex!

The error probability is

$$P_e = \frac{1}{3} \int_{-\infty}^{-2.43} \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)} dz + \frac{1}{3} \int_{14.4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)} dz + \frac{2}{3} \int_{3.57}^{20.4} \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)} dz \quad (56)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \int_{-2.43}^{14.4} \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)} \, dz \right) + \frac{2}{3} \int_{3.57}^{20.4} \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)} \, dz. \tag{57}$$

For Gaussian noise we have

$$R_{-3} = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} | \pi_{-3} \Pr(y|-3) > \pi_3 \Pr(y|3) \},\$$

which simplifies to

$$\frac{2}{3}\Pr(z=y+3) > \frac{1}{3}\Pr(z=y-3)$$
(58)

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(y+3)^2}{2}} > \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(y-3)^2}{2}}$$
(59)

$$\ln(2) > 6y \tag{60}$$

$$\frac{\ln(2)}{6} > y. \tag{61}$$

Therefore, we have

$$R_{-3} = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} | y < \frac{\ln(2)}{6} = 0.1155 \},\$$

and

$$R_3 = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} | y > 0.1155 \}.$$

The error probability is

$$P_e = \frac{1}{3}Q(3 - 0.1155) + \frac{2}{3}Q(3 + 0.1155) = 0.0013.$$