

Solutions: Homework Set # 2

**Problem 1**

(a)  $X_i$ 's are independent and identically distributed, so

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(p) = nH(p) \quad (1)$$

(b)  $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K)$ , where  $K$  is a function of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  as well. So  $(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K, K)$  is a function of  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ . We show that in general,

$$H(X) \geq H(f(X)), \quad (2)$$

for any function  $f$ .

$$H(X) = H(X, f(X)) = H(f(X)) + \underbrace{H(X|f(X))}_{\geq 0 \text{ (for discrete entropy)}} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{therefore } H(X) \geq H(f(X)). \quad (4)$$

This concludes that  $H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \geq H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K, K)$

(c) Chain rule for entropy.

(d)

$$H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K|K) = \sum p(K = k) \underbrace{H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K|K = k)}_{H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_k)} \quad (5)$$

As  $\{Z_i\}$ s are i. i. d. Bernoulli(1/2)

$$H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k H(Z_i) = k \quad (6)$$

Thus,

$$H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K|K) = \sum k \cdot p(K = k) = E(K) \quad (7)$$

(e)  $H(K) \geq 0$  for discrete entropy.

(f) Generate a good map  $f$  on sequences of length 4: we know that all sequences with the same number of ones are equally likely.

An example of a map  $f$  to generate random bits is:

0000  $\rightarrow$   $\Lambda$

0001  $\rightarrow$  00 0010  $\rightarrow$  01 0100  $\rightarrow$  10 1000  $\rightarrow$  11  
 0011  $\rightarrow$  00 0110  $\rightarrow$  01 1100  $\rightarrow$  10 1001  $\rightarrow$  11  
 1010  $\rightarrow$  0 0101  $\rightarrow$  1  
 1110  $\rightarrow$  00 1101  $\rightarrow$  01 1011  $\rightarrow$  10 0111  $\rightarrow$  11  
 1111  $\rightarrow$   $\Lambda$

Note that  $K$  is not fixed and we have both  $K = 1$  and  $K = 2$  in the above example.

Note further that the above map  $f$  has the property that

$\Pr\{Z_1Z_2 = 00|K = 2\} = \Pr\{Z_1Z_2 = 01|K = 2\} = \Pr\{Z_1Z_2 = 10|K = 2\} = \Pr\{Z_1Z_2 = 11|K = 2\}$   
 and  $\Pr\{Z_1 = 0|K = 1\} = \Pr\{Z_1 = 1|K = 1\}$ .

## Problem 2

- (a) 1. Left hand side:

$$H(X, Y, Z) - H(X, Y) = H(Z|X, Y) \quad (8)$$

Right hand side:

$$H(X, Z) - H(X) = H(Z|X) \quad (9)$$

Conditioning reduces entropy, thus,  $H(Z|X) \geq H(Z|X, Y)$

- 2.

$$I(X; Z|Y) \geq I(Z; Y|X) - I(Z; Y) + I(X; Z) \quad (10)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I(X; Z|Y) + I(Z; Y) \geq I(Z; Y|X) + I(X; Z) \quad (11)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I(X, Y; Z) \geq I(Z; X, Y) \quad (12)$$

and indeed equality holds.

- (b) 1.

$$I(X; Y|Z) < I(X; Y) \quad (13)$$

If  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  forms a Markov chain, then

$$H(X|YZ) = H(X|Y) \quad (14)$$

Thus,

$$I(X; Y|Z) = H(X|Z) - H(X|YZ) = H(X|Z) - H(X|Y) \quad (15)$$

$$\underbrace{\geq}_{\text{conditioning reduces entropy}} H(X) - H(X|Y) = I(X; Y) \quad (16)$$

A trivial example is  $Y = X$  and  $Z = Y$ .

2. Let  $X, Y$  be independent random variables and  $Z = X + Y$

$$I(X; Y) = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$I(X; Y|Z) = H(X|Z) - H(X|YZ) = H(X|Z) \geq 0 = I(X; Y) \quad (18)$$

since  $Z = X + Y$ ,  $H(X|YZ) = 0$ .

### Problem 3

(a)

$$L(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ell_i \quad (19)$$

$$H(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^n -p_i \log p_i \quad (20)$$

(b) We will discuss both parts (b) and (d) here.

Why isn't the nature of the problem simply a part of the Huffman procedure as most of you argued in your homeworks?

In Huffman procedure, we may not construct the whole sub-tree  $\mathcal{T}_u$  before continuing to the other nodes of  $\mathcal{T}^u$ . What we should do to check the validity of the Huffman trees, is to see whether  $\mathcal{T}^u$  and  $\mathcal{T}_u$  satisfy properties of optimal Huffman trees or not.

i) If  $\ell_i < \ell_j$  then  $p_i \geq p_j$ ;

- For  $\mathcal{T}^u$ : If  $i \& j \neq u$ , as  $\mathcal{T}$  is a valid Huffman tree the property still holds from first property of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Now consider that  $i = u$ : In this case by construction of  $\mathcal{T}$  probability of  $u$  is smaller than the nodes with less depth and is larger than the nodes with more depth, otherwise  $\mathcal{T}$  wouldn't be a valid Huffman tree. For  $j = u$  it is similar.
- For  $\mathcal{T}_u$ : This property obviously holds because  $\mathcal{T}_u$  is part of  $\mathcal{T}$  and we previously had:

$$\ell_i < \ell_j \iff p_i \geq p_j$$

And now:

$$\ell_i - \ell < \ell_j - \ell \iff \frac{p_i}{q} \geq \frac{p_j}{q}.$$

- ii) The two least probable codewords have the largest length.
- iii) The two least probable codewords differ only in one bit.

These two properties are simply guaranteed by Huffman procedure.

(c)

$$L(\mathcal{P}^u) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \ell_i + q \ell \quad (21)$$

$$H(\mathcal{P}^u) = \sum_{i=1}^k -p_i \log p_i - q \log q \quad (22)$$

(d) Refer to explanation in part (b).

(e)

$$L(\mathcal{P}_u) = \sum_{k+1}^n \frac{p_i}{q} (\ell_i - \ell) \quad (23)$$

$$H(\mathcal{P}_u) = \sum_{i=k+1}^n -\frac{p_i}{q} \log \frac{p_i}{q} \quad (24)$$

(f)

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\mathcal{P}^u) + qL(\mathcal{P}_u) &= \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \ell_i + q \ell + q \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{p_i}{q} (\ell_i - \ell) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ell_i + q \ell - \ell \sum_{i=k+1}^n p_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ell_i \\
&= L(\mathcal{P})
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H(\mathcal{P}^u) + qH(\mathcal{P}_u) &= \sum_{i=1}^k -p_i \log p_i - q \log q - q \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{p_i}{q} \underbrace{\log \frac{p_i}{q}}_{\log p_i - \log q} \\
&= H(\mathcal{P})
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

## Problem 4

(a)  $\theta \rightarrow X \rightarrow T(X)$  forms a Markov chain. Applying data processing inequality,

$$I(\theta; T(X)) \leq I(\theta; X). \tag{27}$$

If further  $\theta \rightarrow T(X) \rightarrow X$  forms a Markov chain, then

$$I(\theta; X) \leq I(\theta; T(X)) \tag{28}$$

And then equality holds.

(b) Because all sequences with the same number of ones are equally likely,

$$\Pr\{(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = k\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} & \text{if } \sum x_i = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Which is independent of  $\theta$ .

Thus  $\theta \rightarrow \sum X_i \rightarrow (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  forms a Markov chain. Therefore,

$$I(\theta; X) = I(\theta; T(X)) \tag{30}$$

And this implies that  $T(X)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .