

Solutions 1

1. First of all, since λ is an eigenvalue of A , there exists $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $u \neq 0$ and $Au = \lambda u$. In particular, $u^*Au = \lambda u^*u$.

a1) If $A^* = A$, then

$$\lambda u^*u = u^*Au = u^*A^*u = (Au)^*u = (\lambda u)^*u = \bar{\lambda} u^*u,$$

i.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, since $u^*u \neq 0$.

a2) If $A^* = -A$, then similarly,

$$\lambda u^*u = u^*Au = -u^*A^*u = -\bar{\lambda} u^*u,$$

i.e. $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$, since $u^*u \neq 0$.

b1) If $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we have

$$\overline{Au} = \overline{\lambda u}, \quad \text{so} \quad A\bar{u} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{u}.$$

i.e. $\bar{\lambda}$ is also an eigenvalue of A .

b2) If $a_{jk} \in i\mathbb{R}$ for all $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we have

$$\overline{Au} = \overline{\lambda u}, \quad \text{so} \quad -A\bar{u} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{u}.$$

i.e. $-\bar{\lambda}$ is also an eigenvalue of A .

c) If A is positive semi-definite, then $\lambda u^*u = u^*Au \geq 0$ by assumption, so $\lambda \geq 0$, since $u^*u > 0$.

d1) If $A^*A = I$, then

$$|\lambda|^2 \|u\|^2 = \|\lambda u\|^2 = \|Au\|^2 = u^*A^*Au = u^*u, \quad \text{so} \quad |\lambda| = 1.$$

d2) Notice that $(A^2)_{jk} = 1$ if $j + k = n$ or $j = k = n$, and 0 otherwise. Therefore, it is easy to infer that $A^4 = I$, so that whenever λ is an eigenvalue of A , we have $\lambda^4 = 1$, i.e. $\lambda \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}$.

e1) If $a_{jk} \geq 0$ for all $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $Au = \lambda u$, then let $l = \operatorname{argmax}_j |u_j|$. We have

$$|\lambda u_l| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_{lk} u_k \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_{lk} |u_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_{lk} |u_l|.$$

Since $u \neq 0$, $|u_l| > 0$, so

$$|\lambda| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n a_{lk} \leq \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}.$$

e2) It is a direct consequence of e1), since $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} = 1$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

f) Let again $l = \operatorname{argmax}_j |u_j|$. $Au = \lambda u$ implies that

$$(\lambda - a_{ll}) u_l = \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^n a_{lk} u_k,$$

so

$$|\lambda - a_{ll}| |u_l| \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^n |a_{lk}| |u_k| \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^n |a_{lk}| |u_l|.$$

This in turn implies (since $|u_l| > 0$) that

$$|\lambda - a_{ll}| \leq \sum_{k=1, k \neq l}^n |a_{lk}|,$$

so

$$\lambda \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n B \left(a_{jj}, \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^n |a_{jk}| \right).$$

2. $u^* Au \geq 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ implies that

a) $a_{jj} \geq 0$ (take $u = e_j$).

b) $|\alpha|^2 a_{jj} + \alpha \bar{\beta} a_{jk} + \bar{\alpha} \beta a_{kj} + |\beta|^2 a_{kk} \geq 0$ (take $u = \alpha e_j + \beta e_k$).

Since $a_{jj}, a_{kk} \in \mathbb{R}$, choosing $\alpha = \beta = 1$ gives $a_{jk} + a_{kj} \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Im}(a_{kj}) = -\operatorname{Im}(a_{jk})$. On the other hand, choosing $\alpha = i$ and $\beta = 1$ gives $i(a_{jk} - a_{kj}) \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $\operatorname{Re}(a_{kj}) = \operatorname{Re}(a_{jk})$. In total, this implies that $a_{kj} = \bar{a}_{jk}$, i.e., that $A = A^*$.

c) Taking $\beta = 1$ in the preceding inequality and α arbitrary gives

$$|\alpha|^2 a_{jj} + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha a_{jk}) + a_{kk} \geq 0.$$

Choosing $\alpha = r e^{-i \operatorname{arg}(a_{jk})}$ gives

$$r^2 a_{jj} + 2r |a_{jk}| + a_{kk} \geq 0.$$

Since r is arbitrary, this implies that the discriminant of this second order equation is non-positive, i.e. that

$$|a_{jk}|^2 - a_{jj} a_{kk} \leq 0.$$