Solutions: Homework Set # 3

Problem 1 (DTFT)

(a) Compute the DTFT of
$$x[n] = u[n-2] - u[n-4]$$
.

$$x[n] = \delta[n-2] + \delta[n-3]$$

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = e^{-j2w} + e^{-j3w}$$

(b) Compute the DTFT of $h[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-n} u[-n-1]$.

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-n} e^{jn\omega}$$
$$= \frac{0.5e^{-j\omega}}{1 - 0.5e^{-j\omega}}$$

(c) Compute the DTFT of $y[n] = x[n] * h[n] = \sum_k x[k]h[n-k]$.

$$\begin{split} Y(e^{j\omega}) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} y[n]e^{jn\omega} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x[k]h[n-k]e^{jn\omega} \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[k]h[n-k]e^{j(n-k+k)\omega} \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x[k]e^{jk\omega} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h[n-k]e^{j(n-k)\omega} \\ &= X(e^{j\omega})H(e^{j\omega}) \end{split}$$

(d) Compute the DTFT of $z[n] = y[n - n_0]$.

$$Z(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z[n]e^{jn\omega}$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} y[n-n_0]e^{jn\omega}$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} y[n-n_0]e^{j(n-n_0+n_0)\omega}$$
$$= e^{jn_0\omega} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} y[n-n_0]e^{j(n-n_0)\omega}$$
$$= e^{jn_0\omega}Y(e^{j\omega})$$

$Problem \ 2 \ ({\rm DFS})$

(a) The periods of both sequences are 6. The computation is as follows

$$N = k\frac{2\pi}{\omega} = 6k = 6$$

By definition, we have:

$$\tilde{x}[n] = 1 + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{6}n\right) = 1 + 0.5e^{j\frac{2\pi}{6}n} + 0.5e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{6}n}$$
$$\tilde{X}[k] = \begin{cases} 6 & k = 0\\ 3 & k = 1, 5\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\tilde{y}[n] = \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{6}n + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{2j}e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}}e^{j\frac{2\pi}{6}n} - \frac{1}{2j}e^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}}e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{6}n}$$
$$\tilde{Y}[k] = \begin{cases} -3je^{j\frac{\pi}{4}} & k = 1\\ 3je^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}} & k = 5\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(b)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{W}[k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{w}[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{u}[n] \tilde{v}[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \tilde{v}[n] \tilde{U}[l] e^{j\frac{2\pi}{N}ln} e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \tilde{U}[l] \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{v}[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}(k-l)n} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \tilde{U}[l] \tilde{V}[k-l] \end{split}$$

(c)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}[k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{r}[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{W}[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \tilde{U}[l] \tilde{V}[n-l] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}k(n-l+l)} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \tilde{U}[l] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kl} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{V}[n-l] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}k(n-l)} \\ &= N \tilde{v}[-k] \tilde{u}[-k] \end{split}$$

(d) In this part we can compute the DFS either using the expression derived in part (b) or directly. Note that

$$2\sin(a)\cos(b) = \sin(a+b) + \sin(a-b)$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{z}[n] &= \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{6}n + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{6}n + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{6}n\right) \\ \tilde{z}[n] &= \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{6}n + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + 0.5\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{6}n + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + 0.5\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2j}e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}}e^{j\frac{2\pi}{6}n} - \frac{1}{2j}e^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}}e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{6}n} + 0.5\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{4j}e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}}e^{j\frac{2\pi}{6}2n} - \frac{1}{4j}e^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}}e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{6}2n} \\ \tilde{Z}[k] &= \begin{cases} 3\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) & k = 0 \\ -3je^{j\frac{\pi}{4}} & k = 1 \\ -1.5je^{j\frac{\pi}{4}} & k = 2 \\ 0 & k = 3 \\ 1.5je^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}} & k = 4 \\ 3je^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}} & k = 5 \end{cases}$$

Problem 3

(a) 1. This statement is wrong. It is not possible to have X[k] = 0 for all k = 0, ..., M - 1 if $M \ge N$. We proof that this cannot be true. The proof works by contradiction. Assume that X[k] = 0 for all k = 0, ..., M - 1 and that $M \ge N$. Also, assume that x[n] is as described in this problem.

Then, because $M \ge N$, we can write

$$X[k] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n]e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{M}kn}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} x[n]e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{M}kn}.$$

This last expression is the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of the *M*-length sequence $(x[0], x[1], \ldots, x[N-1], 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, where the zeros are added to make the sequence-length to be equal to *M*. Now, since we assume that X[k] = 0 for $k = 0, \ldots, M-1$, and since the DFT is a one-to-one mapping, we conclude that

$$(x[0], x[1], \dots, x[N-1], 0, 0, \dots, 0) = (0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

From this, it follows that x[n] = 0 for n = 0, ..., N - 1. But this is a contradiction with the assumption that x[n] is *non-zero*. Hence, the statement is wrong.

2. This statement is true. We can construct a sequence x[n] that satisfies the claim as follows. Since we have M < N, we will, for simplicity, choose M = 1. We see that X[k] is a sampled version of the discrete-time Fourier transform (DTFT) of x[n], where the samples are uniformly spaced in $[0, 2\pi]$. If M = 1, we only take one sample at $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{M}0 = 0$. Hence, all we need is a sequence x[n] of finite length N larger than M = 1, whose DTFT is such that $X(e^{j\omega}) = 0$ for $\omega = 0$. For example, we can choose $X(e^{j\omega}) = \sin(\omega)$. The sequence x[n] that corresponds to this sequence is

$$x[n] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2j} & \text{if } n = -1, \\ -\frac{1}{2j} & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This sequence has support N = 3, but it is non-zero for a negative value of n. So, we have to slightly modify it by shifting it to the right. The new choice is

$$x[n] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2j} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{2j} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The corresponding DTFT is

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = e^{-j\omega}\sin(\omega).$$

If we sample the above $X(e^{j\omega})$ at $\omega = 0$, we obtain 0. Hence, if M = 1, the sequence X[k] (of length 1) is all-zero. However, the sequence x[n] is not all-zero.

(b) $X_1[k]$ is simply the DFT of x[n]. Let us now analyze $X_2[k]$, whereby we study the two cases where k is even and k is odd separately. First, we have

$$X_{2}[2k] = \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{2N}2kn}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn}$$
$$= X_{1}[k].$$

Now,

$$X_2[2k+1] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}(k+\frac{1}{2})n}$$
$$= "X_1[k+\frac{1}{2}]",$$

where we put the last expression in quotes since $X_1[k]$ is not actually defined for $k \notin \mathbb{Z}$. As you should recall, such a noninteger shift can be obtained by taking the DFT of the sequence $W_N^{n/2}x[n]$. Another way to interpret this is to remember that the DFT coefficients correspond to samples of the (continuous) DTFT, evaluated at $\omega = (2\pi/N)k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Noninteger shifts of the DFT then can be obtained by sampling the DTFT for noninteger k.

Graphically, $X_2[k]$ looks like a "smoothed", or interpolated version of $X_1[k]$ (cf. also the solutions to Homework 2, Problem 5(b)).

Problem 4

(a) It is easy to see that $\langle \tilde{h}_i, \tilde{h}_j \rangle = 0$, for $i \neq j$, i.e., \tilde{H} is orthogonal. For example

$$\langle \tilde{h}_2, \tilde{h}_7 \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^8 \tilde{h}_2(k) \tilde{h}_7^*(k)$$

= 1 \cdot 1 + (-1) \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot (-1) + (-1) \cdot (-1) + 1 \cdot (-1) + (-1) \cdot (-1) + 1 \cdot 1 + (-1) \cdot 1 = 0

However, the norm of the vectors is not 1:

$$\|\tilde{\{h\}}_i\| = \langle \tilde{h}_i, \tilde{h}_i \rangle^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^8 1\right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{8}.$$

Therefore, in order to make the basis orthonormal, we need to normalize the vectors by their norm. This gives us $H = \{h_1, \ldots, h_8\}$, where $h_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\tilde{h}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 8$.

(b)

$$\mathbf{a}_{H} = [2, 0, -1, 4, -3, 1, 1, 0]$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{E} = 2h_{1} + 0h_{2} + (-1)h_{3} + 4h_{4} + (-3)h_{5} + 1h_{6} + 1h_{7} + 0h_{8}$$

$$= [1.4142 - 2.1213 - 1.4142 - 0.7071 - 2.1213 - 0.7071 - 4.2426]$$

Note that this also can be computed using the matrix multiplication

(c) Using the same transform as in (b), we can write the measured vector b_H in E basis.

 $\mathbf{b}_E = \mathbf{b}_H \cdot \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.8284 & -8.4853 & 2.8284 & 2.8284 & -8.4853 & 5.6569 & -2.8284 & 5.6569 \end{bmatrix}$. Since the expected measured vectors has zeros in the last two elements, the best estimation is obtained by replacing the corresponding elements by zeros:

$$\mathbf{\hat{b}}_E = \begin{bmatrix} 2.8284 & -8.4853 & 2.8284 & 2.8284 & -8.4853 & 5.6569 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}}_H = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_E \mathbf{H}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -5 & -1 & 1 & 9 & -3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

in *H*-basis. Note that $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^{-1}$, since *H* forms an orthonormal basis. You can easily check that

$$|\mathbf{b}_E - \hat{\mathbf{b}}_E| = |\mathbf{b}_H - \hat{\mathbf{b}}_H| = \sqrt{40}.$$

(d) According to the definition of $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1$, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2$, and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3$ we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & -7 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow |\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1|^2 = 10^2 + 3^2 + (-2)^2 = 113
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & -7 & 8 & 0 & 10 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow |\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2|^2 = 2^2 + 0^2 + (-2)^2 = 8
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 10 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow |\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1|^2 = (-7)^2 + 0^2 + (-2)^2 = 53.$$

Clearly, \hat{x}_2 has the smallest reconstruction error.

(e) Assume we want to store only k elements out of n elements of the vector $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}$. Let $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k}$ be the element which are stored, and $x_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{i_n}$ be the missing elements. Clearly,

$$|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}|^2 = \sum_{j=k+1}^n x_{i_j}^2.$$

In order to minimize this error, one has to choose the k element with the largest absolute value to be stored, and throw away the remaining n-k elements with the smallest absolute value:

$$|x_{i_1}| \ge |x_{i_2}| \ge \dots \ge |x_{i_k}| \ge |x_{i_{k+1}}| \ge \dots \ge |x_{i_1}|.$$