Chapter 10, Sampling and Interpolation: Problem Solutions

Problem 1

(a) Since $\tilde{F}(j\Omega)$ is periodic with period $2\pi/\Delta$, it admits a Fourier series representation as

$$\tilde{F}(j\Omega) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{j\Delta k\Omega} \,. \tag{1}$$

Note that here, we are operating "backwards", i.e. the roles of time and frequency are reversed. The Fourier coefficients are computed as

$$A_{k} = \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\Delta}^{\pi/\Delta} \tilde{F}(j\Omega) e^{-j\Delta k\Omega} d\Omega$$
$$= \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\Delta}^{\pi/\Delta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} F(j(\Omega + \frac{2\pi}{\Delta}n)) e^{-j\Delta k\Omega} d\Omega$$

by inverting integral and summation

$$= \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \sum_{n} \int_{-\pi/\Delta}^{\pi/\Delta} F(j(\Omega + \frac{2\pi}{\Delta}n)) e^{-j\Delta k\Omega} d\Omega$$

and with a change of variable $\Omega' = \Omega + \frac{2\pi}{\Delta} n$

$$= \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \sum_{n} \int_{(2n-1)\pi/\Delta}^{(2n+1)\pi/\Delta} F(j\Omega') e^{-j\Delta k\Omega'} e^{j\Delta \frac{2\pi}{\Delta}nk} d\Omega' \,,$$

where $e^{j\Delta \frac{2\pi}{\Delta}nk} = 1$. These integrals are on contiguous, non-overlapping intervals, therefore

$$= \Delta \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(j\Omega') e^{-j\Delta k\Omega'} d\Omega'$$
$$= \Delta f(-k\Delta)$$

so that by replacing the values for the A_k 's in (1) we obtain $\tilde{F}(j\Omega) = \hat{F}(j\Omega)$.

- (b) The above result shows that the proposed approximation is not a good idea in the general case, since the resulting periodization of the Fourier transform is not what we had in mind. This phenomenon is called *aliasing* and will be studied in detail in the context of the sampling of continuous-time signals.
- (c) The three different cases are depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Aliasing for $\Delta = 2\pi/\Omega_0$, π/Ω_0 and $\pi/(100\Omega_0)$, respectively.

Problem 2

We have that

$$x_s(t) = x(t) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - kT_s)$$

and, by using the modulation theorem,

$$\begin{split} X_{s}(j\Omega) &= X(j\Omega) * P(j\Omega) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} X(j\tilde{\Omega}) P(j(\Omega - \tilde{\Omega})) d\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{2\pi}{T_{s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} X(j\tilde{\Omega}) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta\left(\Omega - \tilde{\Omega} - k\frac{2\pi}{T_{s}}\right) d\tilde{\Omega} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{T_{s}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} X(j\tilde{\Omega}) \delta\left(\Omega - \tilde{\Omega} - k\frac{2\pi}{T_{s}}\right) d\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{2\pi}{T_{s}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} X\left(j\left(\Omega - k\frac{2\pi}{T_{s}}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

In other words, the spectrum of the delta-modulated signal is just the periodic repetition (with period $(2\pi/T_s)$ of the original spectrum. If the latter is bandlimited to (π/T_s) there

will be no overlap and therefore x(t) can be obtained simply by lowpass filtering $x_s(t)$ (in the continuous-time domain).

Problem 3

- (a) According to our definition of bandlimited functions, the highest nonzero frequency is $2\Omega_0$ and therefore $x_c(t)$ is $2\Omega_0$ -bandlimited for a total bandwidth of $4\Omega_0$. The maximum sampling period (i.e. the inverse of the *minimum* sampling frequency) which satisfies the sampling theorem is therefore $T_s = \pi/(2\Omega_0)$. Note however that the total support over which the (positive) spectrum is nonzero is the interval $[\Omega_0, 2\Omega_0]$ so that one could say that the total *effective* positive bandwidth of the signal is just Ω_0 ; this will be useful later.
- (b) The digital spectrum will be the rescaled version of the periodized continuous-time spectrum

$$\tilde{X}_c(j\Omega) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} X_c(j(\Omega - 2k\Omega_0)).$$

The general term $X_c(j\Omega - j2k\Omega_0)$ is nonzero only for

$$\Omega_0 \le |\Omega - 2k\Omega_0| \le 2\Omega_0 \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This translates to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (2k+1)\Omega_0 &\leq \Omega \leq & (2k+2)\Omega_0 \\ (2k-2)\Omega_0 &\leq \Omega \leq & (2k-1)\Omega_0 \end{array}$$

which are non-overlapping intervals! Therefore, there will be no disruptive superpositions of the copies of the spectrum. The digital spectrum will be simply

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{T_s} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} X_c(j\frac{\omega}{T_s} - j\frac{2\pi k}{T_s})$$

and it will look like this (with 2π -periodicity, of course):



- (c) Here's a possible scheme (verify that it works):
 - Sinc-interpolate $x_a[n]$ with period T_s to obtain $x_b(t)$
 - Multiply $x_b(t)$ by $\cos(2\Omega_0 t)$ in the continuous time domain to obtain $x_p(t)$ (i.e. modulate by a carrier at frequency (Ω_0/π) Hz).
 - Bandpass filter $x_p(t)$ with an ideal bandpass filter with (positive) passband equal to $[\Omega_0, 2\Omega_0]$ to obtain $x_c(t)$.
- (d) The effective positive bandwidth of such a signal is $\Omega_{\Delta} = (\Omega_1 \Omega_0)$. Clearly, the sampling frequency must be at least equal to the effective total bandwidth so we have a first condition on the maximum allowable sampling period: $T_{\text{max}} < \pi/\Omega_{\Delta}$.

Now, to make things simpler, assume that the upper frequency Ω_1 is a multiple of the bandwidth, i.e. $\Omega_1 = M\Omega_{\Delta}$ for some integer M (in the previous case, it was M = 2). In this case, the argument we made in the previous point can be easily generalized: if we pick $T_s = \pi/\Omega_{\Delta}$ and sample we have that

$$\tilde{X}_c(j\Omega) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} X_c(j(\Omega - 2k\Omega_{\Delta})).$$

The general term $X_c(j\Omega - j2k\Omega_{\Delta})$ is nonzero only for

$$\Omega_0 \le |\Omega - 2k\Omega_\Delta| \le \Omega_1 \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $\Omega_0 = \Omega_1 - \Omega_\Delta = (M - 1)\Omega_\Delta$, this translates to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (2k+M-1)\Omega_{\Delta} &\leq \Omega \leq & (2k+M)\Omega_{\Delta} \\ (2k-M)\Omega_{\Delta} &\leq \Omega \leq & (2k-M+1)\Omega_{\Delta} \end{array}$$

which are again non-overlapping intervals.

If Ω_1 is not a multiple of the bandwidth, then the easiest thing to do is to change the lower frequency Ω_0 to a new frequency Ω'_0 so that the new bandwidth $\Omega_1 - \Omega'_0$ divides Ω_1 exactly. In other words we set a new lower frequency Ω'_0 so that it will be $\Omega_1 = M(\Omega_1 - \Omega'_0)$ for some integer M; it is easy to see that

$$M = \left\lfloor \frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 - \Omega_0} \right\rfloor.$$

since this is the maximum number of copies of the Ω_{Δ} -wide spectrum which fit with no overlap in the $[0, \Omega_0]$ interval. Note also that, if $\Omega_{\Delta} > \Omega_0$ we cannot hope to reduce the sampling frequency and we have to use normal sampling. This artificial change of frequency will leave a small empty "gap" in the new bandwidth $[\Omega'_0, \Omega_1]$, but that's no problem. Now we can use the previous result and sample with $T_s = \pi/(\Omega_1 - \Omega'_0)$ with no overlap. Since $(\Omega_1 - \Omega'_0) = \Omega_1/M$, we have that, in conclusion, the maximum sampling period is

$$T_{\max} = \frac{\pi}{\Omega_1} \left\lfloor \frac{\Omega_1}{\Omega_1 - \Omega_0} \right\rfloor$$

i.e. we can obtain a sampling frequency reduction factor of $\lfloor \Omega_1/(\Omega_1 - \Omega_0) \rfloor$.